arXiv:1208.2905v1 [math-ph] 14 Aug 2012

Some new classes of exact solutions of the heavenly equations in four dimensions

Ł. T. Stępień *

August 15, 2012

Abstract

Some new classes of exact solutions (so-called functionally invariant solutions) of the elliptic and hyperbolic complex Monge-Ampère equations, the second heavenly equation, mixed heavenly equation, asymmetric heavenly equation and evolution form of second heavenly equation are found. Besides non-invariance of these found classes of solutions has been investigated. These classes of solutions determine the new classes of heavenly metrics without Killing vectors. A criterion of non-invariance of the solutions belonging to found classes, has been also formulated.

PACS numbers: 02.30.Jr, 04.20.Jb

MSC numbers: 35Q75, 83C15

Keywords: analytic solutions, exact solutions, functionally invariant solutions, non-invariant solutions, heavenly equation, Monge-Ampère equation, Killing vector

1 Introduction

The so called, second heavenly equation, is one of the most important equations, derived from Einstein equations in general relativity theory. It was

---

*The Pedagogical University of Cracow, ul. Podchorążych 2, 30-084 Kraków, Poland, e-mail: sfstepie@cyf-kr.edu.pl, stepien50@poczta.onet.pl

derived by Plebański in [1]. The symmetries of this equation were investigated in, [2]. In [3] some hidden symmetry of type II and some exact solutions of the second heavenly equation have been obtained, by a reduction of this equation to the homogeneous Monge-Ampère equation in similarity variables. One of the very important classes of solutions of elliptic complex Monge-Ampère equation, are the solutions, which generate metrics, not possessing Killing vector, because such solutions are non-invariant and so they are the candidates for gravitational instantons, [4]. The most wanted gravitational instanton is so called, Kummer surface $K3$, [4], [5], [6]. Finding of explicit form of the metric corresponding to Kummer surface $K3$ is a challenging problem, among others, because of the requirement of non-existence of Killing vector for such metric, which implicates the requirement of non-invariance of the solution of homogeneous elliptic complex Monge-Ampère equation. This stated the motivation of our searching for non-invariant solutions of homogeneous elliptic complex Monge-Ampère equation, however, as it has turned out, it is hard to find simultaneously non-invariant and real solutions in this case and just such solutions can describe Kummer surface. Some such exact solutions have been obtained in [5] and there also non-existence of Killing vector for these solutions has been checked. In [4] the real solutions of the hyperbolic complex Monge-Ampère equation was found and their non-invariance was also be checked. In [7] a classification of scalar partial differential equations of second order, non-invariant solutions of mixed heavenly equation and a connection between this equation and Husain equation have been presented. On the other hand, there in [8] it has been showed that every solution of Husain equation (related to chiral model of self-dual gravity, [9]) defines some solution of well-known Plebański first heavenly equation.
There in [7] also so-called asymmetric heavenly equation has been derived. This equation is connected to so-called evolution form of second heavenly equation, [10], [11], [12]. In [10] the evolution form of second heavenly equation has been derived by some symmetry reduction of Lie algebra of the area preserving group of diffeomorphisms of the 2-surface Σ^2 of self-dual Yang-Mills equations. The same result has been obtained in the case of second heavenly equation in [13]. Constructing the classes of non-invariant solutions of hyperbolic complex Monge-Ampère equation, in this paper, was motivated by the challenge of finding solutions being the candidates for gravitational instanton.

In this paper we show that it is possible, by applying so-called decomposition method, finding some new classes of exact non-invariant solutions (so-called functionally invariant solutions) of the both complex Monge-Ampère equations: elliptic and hyperbolic one, the second heavenly equation, mixed heavenly equation, asymmetric heavenly equations and evolution form of second heavenly equation. The main version of the mentioned above decomposition method has been presented in [14].

This paper is organized, as follows. In section 2 we briefly describe the procedure of the decomposition method. Section 3 is devoted to short introduction of the equations: elliptic and hyperbolic complex Monge-Ampère, second heavenly, mixed heavenly, asymmetric heavenly, evolution form of heavenly equation and to the non-invariance of the solutions of these equations. In section 4 we find, by using the mentioned decomposition method, the classes of exact solutions of the mentioned equations. Next, in this same section, we investigate non-invariance of the solutions belonging to the classes mentioned above.
We formulate also a criterion of non-invariance of the solutions belonging to found classes. In section 5 we give some conclusions.

2 A short description of the decomposition method

Now we shortly describe the decomposition method, introduced for the first time in [14].
Let's assume that we have to solve some nonlinear partial differential equation:

$$F(x^{\mu}, u_1, ..., u_m, u_{1,x^{\mu}}, ..., u_{m,x^{\mu}}, u_{1,x^{\mu},x^{\nu}}, ...) = 0, \tag{1}$$

where $u_{n,x^{\alpha}} = \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x^{\alpha}}$ *etc.*

According to the assumptions of the decomposition method, which was presented first time in [14], firstly we check, whether it is possible to *decompose* the equation on the fragments, characterized by a *homogenity* of derivatives. For example, such decomposed investigated equation may be, as follows, [14]:

$$G_1 \cdot [(u_{,x})^2 + (u_{,y})^2] + G_2 \cdot [u_{,xx} + u_{,xy}] = 0, \tag{2}$$

where $u = u(x, y)$ is some function of class $\mathcal{C}^2$ and the terms: G_1, G_2 may depend on $x^\mu, u, u_{,x^\mu}, ...$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}$ or $u \in \mathbb{C}$, in dependence on investigated problem.

We see that the result of the checking is positive and then, we insert into (2), the ansatz, [14]:

$$u(x^\sigma) = \beta_1 + f(a_\mu x^\mu + \beta_2, b_\nu x^\nu + \beta_3, c_\rho x^\rho + \beta_4). \tag{3}$$

In the above ansatz we try to keep f as an *arbitrary* function (of class $\mathcal{C}^2$), so far as it is possible. The class of the solutions given by the ansatz of such kind, is called in the literature, as *functionally invariant* solution, [17]. The function f depends on the appropriate arguments, like this one: $a_\mu x^\mu + \beta_2$. In this paper: $a_\mu x^\mu = a_1 x^1 + a_2 x^2 + a_3 x^3 + a_4 x^4$. The coefficients a_μ, b_ν, c_ρ may be in general complex numbers, which are to be determined later, β_j may be in general complex constants, $j = 1, ..., 4$, and $\mu, \nu, \rho = 1, ..., 4$. In general, the set of values of μ, ν, ρ, depends on the investigated equation. We can decrease or increase the number of the arguments of the function f in (3) and also modify the form of the ansatz (3), in dependency on the situation.

We make such modification later in this section and in the section 4.

After inserting the ansatz (3) into the example equation (2), there, instead of partial derivatives of u, the derivatives of the function f appear: $D_1 f, D_2 f, ..., D_{1,1} f, D_{1,2} f, ...$, where the indices denote differentiating with respect to first and so far, arguments of the function f (like this one: $a_\mu x^\mu + \beta_2$).

For example, if we insert a two-dimensional version of ansatz (3) into (2) and collect appropriate terms by the derivatives $D_j f$ and $D_{j,k} f$, then, we get, [14]:

$$\begin{gathered}
G_1 \cdot [(a_1^2 + a_2^2)(D_1 f)^2 + (b_1^2 + b_2^2)(D_2 f)^2 + (c_1^2 + c_2^2)(D_3 f)^2 + \\
2(a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2) D_1 f D_2 f + 2(a_1 c_1 + a_2 c_2) D_1 f D_3 f + 2(b_1 c_1 + b_2 c_2) D_2 f D_3 f] + \\
G_2 \cdot [(a_1^2 + a_1 a_2) D_{1,1} f + (2a_1 b_1 + a_1 b_2 + a_2 b_1) D_{1,2} f \\
+ (2a_1 c_1 + a_1 c_2 + a_2 c_1) D_{1,3} f + (b_1^2 + b_1 b_2) D_{2,2} f + \\
(2b_1 c_1 + b_1 c_2 + b_2 c_1) D_{2,3} f + (c_1^2 + c_1 c_2) D_{3,3} f] = 0,
\end{gathered} \tag{4}$$

where $D_j f, D_{j,k} f$ denote correspondingly: a derivative of the function f with respect to $j - nary$ argument and the mixed derivative of this function

with respect to $j - nary$ and $k - nary$ argument.
Now, we require that all algebraic terms in the parenthesises must vanish.

As a result we obtain a system of algebraic equations, which solutions are the parameters $a_1, a_2, ...$ We call such system of algebraic equations, as determining algebraic system. Its solutions give the relations between a_μ, b_ν, c_ρ and therefore they constitute, together with (3), some class of solutions of (2). In dependence on the situation, we may need to take into consideration additionally some other conditions, which must be satisfied by our class of solutions. These conditions implicate the requirement of satisfying of some algebraic equations, which we attach to the determining algebraic system. In this paper, one example of such additional condition is the condition of non-invariance of the solutions. The ansatz (3) appears, as an effect of a generalization of some result, obtained in [15] and [16]. Namely, there in the mentioned papers, some classes of exact solutions of Heisenberg model of ferromagnet have been obtained (but by applying some other method - so called, concept of strong necessary conditions):

$$\omega = \omega[(i\alpha + \beta\gamma)x_1 + (i\gamma - \alpha\beta)x_2 + (\beta^2 - 1)x_3], \quad c.c., \tag{5}$$

where ω is arbitrary holomorphic function of class $\mathcal{C}^2$, depending on its argument and $\omega = \frac{S^1 + iS^2}{1 + S^3}$, S^i $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ - components of classical Heisenberg spin, and $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 = 1$. So, the ansatz (3) is a generalization of (5). The solution (given by the ansatz (3)) has been obtained for: Heisenberg model, nonlinear σ model (or O(3) model) and scalar Born-Infeld-like equation in (3+1)-dimensions in [14].

One can easily show that this method can be extended for the class of the equations of *arbitrary* order:

$$F(x^\mu, u_1, ..., u_m, u_{1,x^\mu}, ..., u_{m,x^\mu}, u_{1,x^\mu x^\nu}, ..., u_{m,x^{\alpha_1} ... x^{\alpha_n}}) = 0, \tag{6}$$

obviously, if decomposition on the proper fragments, mentioned above, is possible.
Of course, this above decomposition method may be also applied for solving linear partial differential equations, homogeneous with respect to the derivatives.

Just now, in the order to find classes of non-invariant solutions, we make a modification of (3) and the following form of (3) will be applied in this paper:

$$u(x^\mu) = \beta_1 + g_1(\Sigma_1) + g_2(\Sigma_2) + g_3(\Sigma_3) + g_4(\Sigma_4), \tag{7}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1 &= a_\mu x^\mu + \beta_2, \quad & \Sigma_2 &= b_\mu x^\mu + \beta_3, \\ \Sigma_3 &= c_\mu x^\mu + \beta_4, \quad & \Sigma_4 &= d_\mu x^\mu + \beta_5, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

$g_k, (k = 1, ..., 4)$ are some functions, in dependence on situation, they can be holomorphic or real and we wish they were *arbitrary* functions, but it can change in some cases, $a_\mu x^\mu = a_1 x^1 + ... + a_4 x^4$, x^μ are the independent variables. We assume that $g_k \in \mathcal{C}^2, (k = 1, ..., 4)$, (of course, if g_k is real-valued function, we assume that it is differentiable in $\mathbb{R}$ sense and if $g_k \in \mathbb{C}$, we assume that it is differentiable in $\mathbb{C}$ sense). In the cases of second heavenly equation and mixed heavenly equation we will extend the ansatz (7) to the functional series.
Of course, all equations, homogeneous with respect to the derivatives, can be solved by using decomposition method (if there exists at least one solution of the determining algebraic system). However, it is possible that found solutions of determining algebraic system will determine the classes of the solutions, which are useless from the physical viewpoint. So, the problem of finding of solutions of given equation is reduced to the problem of solving of the determining algebraic system. For example, the wanted classes of solutions of the Monge-Ampère equations: elliptic (CMA) and hyperbolic complex (HCMA) one, should be the classes of *real* and non-invariant solutions. Especially, it is hard to find such classes of the solutions, in the case of elliptic complex Monge-Ampère equation (CMA), when we apply decomposition method for solving Legendre transformed elliptic complex Monge-Ampère equation, because in this case, the condition of existence of Legendre transformation appears additionally.

It should be also mentioned here that the first method of finding of functionally invariant solutions, applied to the wave equation, comes from [17], but without the idea of decomposition method, introduced for the first time in [14] and applied in this paper.

In [18] and [19] some extension (obtained by using a method, called also, as Erugin's method, [20]), of the results obtained in [17], were presented.

In [21], the extension of this above mentioned method, was presented and applied for nonlinear partial differential equations of second order and in [22] some analogical results were obtained for some kind of quasilinear partial differential equations of second order.

However, the method of searching of the solutions of the form (3), introduced, for the first time, in [14], "looks" at the investigated nonlinear partial differential equation, by the wievpoint of homogenity of some fragments of this equation, with respect to the derivatives and so it differs from these methods mentioned above. By comparison with the methods mentioned above, it seems to be more simple than they. Moreover, we have stated above that decomposition method can be applied for partial differential equation of arbitrary order, if this equation can be decomposed on proper fragments, mentioned above. In [23] some extension of this method (we apply its version in the current paper) was presented.

3 Heavenly equations

3.1 Complex Monge-Ampère equations

The Einstein vacuum equation in the complex four-dimensional Riemann space together with the constraint of (anti-)self-duality can be reduced to the complex Monge-Ampère equation, [1]:

$$\Omega_{,pr}\Omega_{,qs} - \Omega_{,qr}\Omega_{,ps} = 1. \tag{9}$$

The metric, corresponding to this equation, is the following, [1]:

$$ds^2 = \Omega_{,pr}dpdr + \Omega_{,ps}dpds + \Omega_{,qr}dqdr + \Omega_{,qs}dqds, \tag{10}$$

where $p, q, r, s \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\Omega(p, q, r, s) \in \mathbb{C}$.

Because of physical requirements, we limit our considerations to the case: $\Omega(p, q, r, s) = v$, $v \in \mathbb{R}$, $(p, q, r, s \in \mathbb{C})$. If we choose: $p = z^1, q = z^2, r = \theta\bar{z}^1, s = \bar{z}^2$, then, the equation (9) becomes, [4]:

$$v_{,z^1\bar{z}^1}v_{,z^2\bar{z}^2} - v_{,z^1\bar{z}^2}v_{,z^2\bar{z}^1} = \theta, \tag{11}$$

where $\theta = \pm 1$, $\bar{z}^1$ is complex conjugation of z^1, $v_{,z^1} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial z^1}$ etc. The metric (10) has the form, [4]:

$$ds^2 = v_{,z^1\bar{z}^1} dz^1 d\bar{z}^1 + v_{,z^1\bar{z}^2} dz^1 d\bar{z}^2 + v_{,z^2\bar{z}^1} dz^2 d\bar{z}^1 + v_{,z^2\bar{z}^2} dz^2 d\bar{z}^2. \tag{12}$$

If $\theta = 1$, then the equation (11) is called, as the elliptic complex Monge-Ampère equation and if $\theta = -1$, then the equation (11) is called, as the hyperbolic complex Monge-Ampère equation.

3.1.1 Elliptic complex Monge-Ampère equation

As we stated it above, elliptic complex Monge-Ampère equation has the form:

$$v_{z^1\bar{z}^1} v_{z^2\bar{z}^2} - v_{z^1\bar{z}^2} v_{\bar{z}^1 z^2} = 1 \tag{13}$$

This equation has many applications in mathematics and physics, among others, as we stated it in the previous section, equation (13) is strictly connected to instanton solutions of the Einstein equations of gravitational field. These solutions are desrcibed by 4-dimensional Kähler metrics, [5]:

$$ds^2 = v_{z^i\bar{z}^k} dz^i d\bar{z}^k, \tag{14}$$

where we sum over the two values of both: unbarred and barred indices and $v_{z^i\bar{z}^k} = \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial z^i \partial \bar{z}^k}$.

The metric satisfies the vacuum Einstein equations of gravitational field with Euclidean signature, provided that the Kähler potential is some solution of (13). We will look for non-invariant, real solutions of (13), which can be used for construction of hyper Kähler metrics, not possesing any Killing vectors. One of them is the $K3$ surface (Kummer surface), being the most important gravitational instanton, [5], [6]. In [5] some exact, non-invariant and real solution of (13) was found, by some reduction of the problem of solving (13) to solving some linear system of equations. Namely, this solution has the form:

$$\begin{gathered} w = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\{2\Im([A_k^2(B_k^2+1)+1]z^2)\}\{\exp[2B_k\Re[A_k(p+\gamma z^2)]] \\ \times\Re\{C_k \exp[2i[\Im(A_k(p+\gamma z^2)) - 2B_k\Re(A_k^2 z^2)]]\} \\ +\exp[-2B_k\Re[A_k(p+\gamma z^2)]]\Re\{H_k \exp[2i[\Im[A_k(p+\gamma z^2)] + 2B_k\Re(A_k^2 z^2)]]\}\}, \end{gathered} \tag{15}$$

where A_k, C_k, H_k are arbitrary complex constants, $B_k = \sqrt{1 - 1/\mid A_k \mid^2}$, γ is arbitrary real constant, $w = e^{-\psi}$, and ψ is a solution of Legendre transform of elliptic complex Monge-Ampère equation, [5]:

$$\psi_{p\bar{p}}\psi_{z^2\bar{z}^2} - \psi_{p\bar{z}^2}\psi_{\bar{p}z^2} = \psi_{pp}\psi_{\bar{p}\bar{p}} - \psi^2_{p\bar{p}}, \tag{16}$$

and:

$$v = \psi - p\psi_p - \bar{p}\psi_{,\bar{p}}, \;\; v_{z^1} = p, \;\; v_{\bar{z}^1} = \bar{p}. \tag{17}$$

Some other solutions, functionally invariant ones, have been found in [26]:

$$w = \int_{a_0}^{a_1} F(a, \sigma_a + i\delta_a)da + \sum_k F_k(\sigma_{a_k} + i\delta_{a_k}) + c.c., \tag{18}$$

where $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and:

$$\begin{gathered} v = w - pw_{,p} - \bar{p}w_{,\bar{p}} - rw_{,r}, \; z^1 = -w_{,p}, \; \bar{z}^1 = -w_{,\bar{p}}, \\ \rho = \xi + \bar{\xi} = -w_{,r}, \; \sigma_a = p + \bar{p} + ia(\bar{p} - p), \\ \delta_a = i\sqrt{\gamma}\left(r + \frac{a+i}{a-i}z + \frac{a-i}{a+i}\bar{z}\right), \; \gamma = a^2 + 1 \end{gathered} \tag{19}$$

and $\xi, \bar{\xi}$ are parameters of the symmetry group of (13).

3.1.2 Hyperbolic complex Monge-Ampère equation transformed by Legendre transformation

After applying Legendre transformation, [4]:

$$w = v - z^1 v_{,z^1} - \bar{z}^1 v_{,\bar{z}^1}, \; p = v_{,z^1}, \; \bar{p} = v_{,\bar{z}^1}, \; z^1 = -w_{,p}, \; \bar{z}^1 = -w_{,\bar{p}} \;, \tag{20}$$

the hyperbolic complex Monge-Ampère equation (11) becomes (if $\theta = -1$), [4]:

$$w_{,p\bar{p}}w_{,z^2\bar{z}^2} - w_{,p\bar{z}^2}w_{,\bar{p}z^2} - w^2_{,p\bar{p}} + w_{,pp}w_{,\bar{p}\bar{p}} = 0. \tag{21}$$

The metric (12), governed by (11), after applying the transformation (20), has the form, [4]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
ds^2 = \frac{1}{(w_{,pp}w_{,\bar{p}\bar{p}} - w^2_{,p\bar{p}})} \Big[& w_{,pp}(w_{,p\bar{p}}dp + w_{,\bar{p}z^2}dz^2)^2 + w_{,\bar{p}\bar{p}}(w_{,p\bar{p}}d\bar{p} + w_{,p\bar{z}^2}d\bar{z}^2)^2 + \\
& \frac{w_{,pp}w_{,\bar{p}\bar{p}} + w^2_{,p\bar{p}}}{w_{,p\bar{p}}} \mid w_{,p\bar{p}}dp + w_{,\bar{p}z^2}dz^2 \mid^2 \Big] - \frac{w_{,pp}w_{,\bar{p}\bar{p}} - w^2_{,p\bar{p}}}{w_{,p\bar{p}}} dz^2 d\bar{z}^2.
\end{aligned}
\tag{22}
$$

The condition of existence of Legendre transformation (20) has the form:

$$w_{,pp}w_{,\bar{p}\bar{p}} - w^2_{,p\bar{p}} \neq 0 \tag{23}$$

and it must be satisfied for the given solution or class of solutions of (21).

3.1.3 Non-invariance of the solutions of the hyperbolic complex Monge-Ampère equation

As it was showed in [4], the conditions of non-invariance of the solutions of the hyperbolic complex Monge-Ampère equation are strictly determined by Killing equations.

The condition equivalent to the Killing equation, has the form (after applying the invertible point transformation, generated by Legendre transformation (20)), [4]:

$$
\begin{gathered}
p\xi^1(-w_{,p}, z^2) + w_{,z^2}\xi^2(-w_{,p}, z^2) + \bar{p}\xi^{\bar{1}}(-w_{,\bar{p}}, \bar{z}^2) + w_{,\bar{z}^2}\xi^{\bar{2}}(-w_{,\bar{p}}, \bar{z}^2) = \\
h(-w_{,p}, z^2) + \bar{h}(-w_{,\bar{p}}, \bar{z}^2).
\end{gathered}
\tag{24}
$$

The Killing vector exists for given solution of the hyperbolic complex Monge-Ampère equation, only if this solution satisfies (24) and then, such solution is invariant.

In [4], the searching of solutions of hyperbolic Monge-Ampère equation, has been reduced to solving some two systems of linear partial differential equations, by applying method of partner symmetries. The solutions of these mentioned systems of linear equation, found in [4], have the following form:

$$w = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j e^{\Sigma_j}, \tag{25}$$

where $\Sigma_j = \gamma_j p + \bar{\gamma}_j \bar{p} + \delta_j z^2 + \bar{\delta}_j \bar{z}^2$. The coefficients $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{R}$ are arbitrary, but γ_j, δ_j must satisfy the following relations, [4]:

1. for the first system :

$$\mid \gamma_j \mid^2 = a\gamma_j + \bar{a}\bar{\gamma}_j, \qquad \delta_j = i\frac{\gamma_j^2 - (\bar{a} + i\bar{b})\gamma_j}{\bar{a}}, \tag{26}$$

2. for the second system:

$$\delta_j = \left(\nu + i - i\frac{\gamma_j}{\bar{\gamma}_j}\right)\gamma_j, \\ c.c., \tag{27}$$

where $\nu = const$, and a, b - arbitrary complex constants.

So, there are two solutions of the hyperbolic complex Monge-Ampère equation and they are non-invariant, if $n \geq 4$, because they do not satisfy Killing equation (24), [4]. Namely, it is provided by the fact, that the matrix of coefficients, [4]:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{-2i\varphi_1} & e^{2i\varphi_1} & e^{-4i\varphi_1} \\ 1 & e^{-2i\varphi_2} & e^{2i\varphi_2} & e^{-4i\varphi_2} \\ 1 & e^{-2i\varphi_3} & e^{2i\varphi_3} & e^{-4i\varphi_3} \\ 1 & e^{-2i\varphi_4} & e^{2i\varphi_4} & e^{-4i\varphi_4} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{28}$$

where $\varphi_j = \arg(\gamma_j)$, $(j = 1, ..., 4)$, is non-singular. Hence:

$$\Sigma_j = \gamma_j p + \bar{\gamma}_j \bar{p} + \delta_j z^2 + \bar{\delta}_j \bar{z}^2 \tag{29}$$

are linearly independent and the transformations from $p, \bar{p}, z^2, \bar{z}^2$ to Σ_j are invertible, [4]. So, as it has been proved in [4], after inserting each of these above solutions into Killing equation (24), this equation becomes into:

$$F_1(\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \Sigma_3, \Sigma_4) = 0. \tag{30}$$

Hence, as it has been proved in [4], the equation (24) cannot be satisfied identically for the solution of Legendre-transformed hyperbolic complex Monge-Ampère equation (21), found in [4].

As it has been pointed out in [4], the solutions, given by (25) and (26) can be generalized thanks to the functional invariance, established in a theorem proved in [4]. According to this theorem, we have that:

$$w = f\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j e^{\Sigma_j}\right), \tag{31}$$

where the coefficients in Σ_j satisfy (26) and f is arbitrary function, ($f \in \mathcal{C}^2$), is also the class of the solutions of one of the mentioned above systems of linear PDE's and of course, of hyperbolic complex Monge-Ampère equation (21). So, (31) is some functionally invariant solution of hyperbolic complex Monge-Ampère equation (21).

3.2 Second heavenly equation of Plebański

The second heavenly equation of Plebański has the following form, [1], [3], [4]:

$$v_{,xx}v_{,yy} - v_{,xy}^2 + v_{,xw} + v_{,yz} = 0, \tag{32}$$

where $v(x, y, w, z) \in \mathbb{C}$ is a holomorphic function, $v_{xx} = \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2}$ etc. and $x, y, w, z \in \mathbb{C}$. The heavenly metric has the form, [3], [4]:

$$ds^2 = dwdx + dzdy - v_{,xx}dz^2 - v_{,yy}dw^2 + 2v_{,xy}dwdz. \tag{33}$$

3.2.1 Second heavenly equation transformed by partial Legendre transformation and its symmetries

In [4] the second heavenly equation (32) has been transformed by partial Legendre transformation:

$$\vartheta = v - wv_{,w} - yv_{,y}, \quad v_{,w} = t, \quad v_{,y} = r, \quad w = -\vartheta_{,t}, \quad y = -\vartheta_{,r}. \tag{34}$$

We need also to remember that this above transformation exists, if the following condition is satisfied, [4]:

$$\vartheta_{,tt}\vartheta_{,rr} - (\vartheta_{,rt})^2 \neq 0. \tag{35}$$

Second heavenly equation, transformed by Legendre transformation (34), has the form, [4]:

$$\vartheta_{,tt}(\vartheta_{,xx} + \vartheta_{,rz}) + \vartheta_{,xt}(\vartheta_{,rr} - \vartheta_{,xt}) - \vartheta_{,rt}(\vartheta_{,rx} + \vartheta_{,tz}) = 0. \tag{36}$$

The metric (33) transformed by Legendre transformation, has the form, [4]:

$$\begin{gathered} ds^2 = \frac{(\vartheta_{,tt}(\vartheta_{,tt}dt + \vartheta_{,tr}dr + \vartheta_{,tx}dx + \vartheta_{,tz}dz) + (\vartheta_{,tt}\vartheta_{,rx} - \vartheta_{,tr}\vartheta_{,tx})dz)^2}{\vartheta_{,tt}(\vartheta_{,tt}\vartheta_{,rr} - \vartheta^2_{,tr})} - \\ \frac{\vartheta_{,tt}\vartheta_{,xx} - \vartheta^2_{,tx}}{\vartheta_{,tt}}dz^2 - (\vartheta_{,tt}dt + \vartheta_{,tr}dr + \vartheta_{,tx}dx + \vartheta_{,tz}dz)dx \\ -(\vartheta_{,rt}dt + \vartheta_{,rr}dr + \vartheta_{,rx}dx + \vartheta_{,rz}dz)dz, \end{gathered} \tag{37}$$

where $\vartheta(x, r, t, z)$ is the potential, which satisfies Legendre transformed second heavenly equation (36).

In the aim of linearization of the above equation, there in [4], translational symmetries have been applied. In the case of so called equal symmetries, instead of the equation (36), the following system of equations has been investigated, [4]:

$$\vartheta_{,rt} + \vartheta_{,rr} - \vartheta_{,xt} = 0, \tag{38}$$

$$\vartheta_{,xx} + \vartheta_{,rz} = 0, \tag{39}$$

$$\vartheta_{,rx} + \vartheta_{,xt} + \vartheta_{,tz} = 0. \tag{40}$$

In the case of so called higher symmetry, the following system has been considered, instead of (36), [4]:

$$\vartheta_{,rr} - \vartheta_{,xt} = 0, \tag{41}$$

$$\vartheta_{,rx} + \vartheta_{,tz} = 0, \tag{42}$$

$$\vartheta_{,xx} + \vartheta_{,rz} = 0. \tag{43}$$

3.2.2 Non-invariance of the solutions of second heavenly equation

Let us write the conditions, which satisfying provides that the given solutions of (32) are non-invariant (i.e. metrics generated by them, do not possess Killing vector). These conditions have been derived in [4].

The Killing vector for the metric (33) of second heavenly equation has the form, [4]:

$$\vec{\Omega} = \xi^x(x,y,w,z)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \xi^y(x,y,w,z)\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \xi^w(x,y,w,z)\frac{\partial}{\partial w} + \xi^z(x,y,w,z)\frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \tag{44}$$

where, [4]:

$$\begin{gathered} \xi^x = -2av_{,y} - xd_{,w} - yb_{,w} - e, \quad \xi^y = 2av_{,x} - xd_{,z} - yb_{,z} + c, \\ \xi^z = ax + b, \quad \xi^w = -ay + d \end{gathered} \tag{45}$$

and:

$$b = q_{,w} + kz, \quad d = -q_{,z} + kw, \tag{46}$$

where a, k are arbitrary constants and c, e, q are arbitrary functions of w, z.

The Killing equation for the metric (33) is equivalent to the following equation, [4]:

$$\begin{gathered} v_{,x}(x,y,w,z) + [xq_{,zz} - y(q_{,zw} + k) + c]v_{,y}(x,y,w,z) + \\ [ax + kz + q_{,w}]v_{,z}(x,y,w,z) + [kw - ay - q_{,z}]v_{,w}(x,y,w,z) \\ = 2[aV - kv(x,y,w,z)] + \frac{1}{6}[x^3q_{,zzz} - 3x^2yq_{,zzw} + 3xy^2q_{,zww} - y^3q_{,www}] + \\ \frac{1}{2}[x^2c_{,z} + xy(e_{,z} - c_{,w}) - y^2e_{,w}] + x\rho + y\sigma + \psi, \end{gathered} \tag{47}$$

where $q, c, e, \rho, \sigma, \psi$ are arbitrary functions of w, z and a, k are arbitrary constants. If some given solution of the second heavenly equation (32), is invariant, then, the Killing equation should become a tautology, for some choice of the functions $q, c, e, \rho, \sigma, \psi$, the constants a, k and the integration "constant" for the potential V, where, [4]:

$$V_{,x}=v_{,xx}v_{,y}-v_{,x}v_{,xy}+2v_{,z}, \tag{48}$$

$$V_{,y}=v_{,xy}v_{,y}-v_{,x}v_{,yy}-2v_{,w}. \tag{49}$$

The form of the above Killing equation (47), transformed by Legendre transformation, is, [4]:

$$\begin{gathered}\vartheta_{,x}+r[xq_{,zz}+\vartheta_{,r}(q_{,zw}-k)+c]+\\(ax+kz+q_{,w})\vartheta_{,z}+t(-3k\vartheta_{,t}+a\vartheta_{,r}-q_{,z})=\\2(a\Pi-k\vartheta)+\frac{1}{6}(x^3q_{,zzz}+3x^2\vartheta_{,r}q_{,zzw}+3x\vartheta_{,r}^2q_{,zww}+\vartheta_{,r}^3q_{,www})+\\\frac{1}{2}(x^2c_{,z}-x\vartheta_{,r}(e_{,z}-c_{,w})-\vartheta_{,r}^2e_{,w})+x\rho-\vartheta_{,r}\sigma+\psi,\end{gathered} \tag{50}$$

where $\vartheta=\vartheta(x,r,t,z)$ is a solution of the Legendre transformed second heavenly equation (36).

Moreover, w should be replaced with $-\vartheta_{,t}(x,r,t,z)$ in the functions q,c,e, in their derivatives and in ρ,σ,ψ. Π is obtained by Legendre transformation of the potential V, [4]:

$$\Pi(x,r,t,z)=V(x,-\vartheta_{,r}(x,r,t,z),z,-\vartheta_{,t}(x,r,t,z)). \tag{51}$$

In [4], a solution of the equations (38)-(40) and (41)-(43) have been obtained:

$$\vartheta=\sum_{j=1}^{n}m_j\exp\left(\alpha_jt+\gamma_jr+\zeta_jx+\lambda_jz\right), \tag{52}$$

where the coefficients must satisfy the relations, [4]:

1. for the system (38)-(40):

$$\alpha_j=\frac{\gamma_j^2}{\zeta_j-\gamma_j},\ \lambda_j=-\frac{\zeta_j^2}{\gamma_j}, \tag{53}$$

2. for the system (41)-(43):

$$\alpha_j = \frac{\gamma_j^2}{\zeta_j},\ \lambda_j = -\frac{\zeta_j^2}{\gamma_j}. \tag{54}$$

Here the similar reasoning, as in the case of the solutions of hyperbolic complex Monge-Ampère equation, can be repeated. Hence, these above solutions are non-invariant, if $n \geq 4$, then, they generate metrics without Killing vector. It is provided by the fact that as in the case of the solutions of hyperbolic complex Monge-Ampere equation, the matrices of coefficients for solutions given either by (52) and (53) or by (52) and (54), are non-singular, [4].

3.3 Mixed heavenly equation

There in [7], has been derived and investigated, so called mixed heavenly equation, which, after symmetry reduction, has the form:

$$v_{,ty}v_{,xz} - v_{,tz}v_{,xy} + v_{,tt}v_{,xx} - v_{,tx}^2 = \theta, \tag{55}$$

where $\theta = \pm 1$.

After making Legendre transformation, [7]:

$$\begin{gathered} p = v_{,x}, \quad q = v_{,z}, \quad w(p,q,t,y) = v - xv_{,x} - zv_{,z}, \\ x = -w_{,p}, \quad z = -w_{,q}\ , \end{gathered} \tag{56}$$

the equation (55) becomes, [7]:

$$w_{,tq}w_{,py} - w_{,pq}w_{,ty} + w_{,tt}w_{,qq} - w_{,tq}^2 + \theta(w_{,pp}w_{,qq} - (w_{,pq})^2) = 0. \tag{57}$$

The condition of existence of Legendre transformation (56) has the form, [7]:

$$w_{,pp}w_{,qq} - (w_{,pq})^2 \neq 0. \tag{58}$$

The problem of obtaining non-invariant solutions of (57), has been reduced in [7], to investigation of the following set of linear equations (for $\theta = 1$):

$$w_{,\eta\eta} + w_{,\xi\xi} - w_{,\xi q} = 0, \tag{59}$$

$$w_{,\xi q} - w_{,\eta q} + w_{,\xi y} = 0, \tag{60}$$

$$w_{,\xi q} + w_{,\eta q} - w_{,qq} + w_{,\eta y} = 0, \tag{61}$$

where $\eta = p + t, \xi = p - t$.

One of the solutions of the system (59)-(61), are, [7]:

$$\begin{aligned} w = \sum_j \exp\left(\pm \sqrt{A_j(A_j - B_j)}(\eta + \frac{B_j}{A_j}y)\right) \\ \times\{C_j \cos\left(A_j\xi + B_j(q-y)\right) + H_j \sin\left(A_j\xi + B_j(q-y)\right)\}. \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

where: A_j, B_j, C_j, H_j are arbitrary constants and $\eta = p + t, \xi = p - t$.

This above solution is non-invariant solution, because it depends on four independent combinations of the variables η, ξ, q, y, [7].

3.4 Asymmetric heavenly equation

In [7], so called asymmetric heavenly equation has been derived:

$$u_{,tx}u_{,ty} - u_{,tt}u_{,xy} + Au_{,tz} + Bu_{,xz} + Cu_{,xx} = 0. \tag{63}$$

When $B = 0$, then, this above equation is called, as evolution form of the second heavenly equation, [7], [10], [11], [12].

4 Some new classes of exact solutions and their non-invariance

In this section we find the classes of exact solutions of the equations, (13), (21), (36), (57) and (63) and we check the non-invariance of theese classes.

4.1 Class of exact solutions of elliptic complex Monge-Amp$\grave{e}$re equation

Now we want to find the class of exact solutions of elliptic complex Monge-Ampère equation (13). In contrary to the next subsections of this secion, we do not investigate Legendre transform of origin equation. Actually, at first sight, one can think that the simplest way of finding wanted class of exact solutions, is applying decomposition method to Legendre transform of (13).

However, in this case, after obtaining the corresponding determining algebraic system, it turns out that finding of the class of exact solutions, which satisfies three conditions of: existence of Legendre transformation, non-invariance and reality, simultaneously, is very hard and it seems that it is possible that there are no appropriate solutions of the determining algebraic system. Thus, we apply decomposition method directly to the elliptic complex Monge-Ampère equation (13). Obvioulsy, in this case we cannot use directly the ansatz (7) to this equation, because the main osbtacle, in applying of the original ansatz, is the presence of the free term "1" in (13). So, we apply some modification of the ansatz such that after inserting of it into (13), some possibility of balancing of the free term will appear (the necessity of balancing of the free term was took into consideration in decomposition method in [23]). We do it by choosing two functions in (7), as square functions, we choose the functions $g_k, (k = 1, 2)$ to be square functions and the functions g_3 is arbitrary function of class $\mathcal{C}^2$, but $g_4 = \bar{g}_3$, in order to satisfy the condition of reality of the solution. Hence, we apply the ansatz:

$$v(x^\mu) = \beta_1 + (\Sigma_1)^2 + (\Sigma_2)^2 + g_3(\Sigma_3) + g_4(\Sigma_4), \tag{64}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1 &= a_\mu x^\mu + \beta_2, \quad & \Sigma_2 &= b_\mu x^\mu + \beta_3, \\ \Sigma_3 &= c_\mu x^\mu + \beta_4, \quad & \Sigma_4 &= d_\mu x^\mu + \beta_5, \end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

$\Sigma_k \in \mathbb{R}, (k = 1, 2)$, $g_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ is *arbitrary* function of class $\mathcal{C}^2$, $g_4 = \bar{g}_3$, $a_\mu x^\mu = a_1 x^1 + ... + a_4 x^4$ and $x^1 = z^1, x^2 = \bar{z}^1, x^3 = z^2, x^4 = \bar{z}^2$ are the independent variables. Owing to applying decomposition method directly to (13), not to its Legendre transform (17), we avoid the necessity of satisfying of the condition of existence of Legendre transformation. After inserting the ansatz (64) into (13) and collecting proper terms, we derive the determining algebraic system, which wanted solutions are:

$$a_1 = \bar{a}_2, a_3 = 0, a_4 = 0, b_1 = 1, b_2 = 1, b_3 = \frac{1}{2\bar{a}_2}, b_4 = \frac{1}{2a_2}, \tag{66}$$

$$c_1 = 0, c_2 = \frac{1}{2\bar{a}_2}, c_3 = 0, c_4 = \bar{d}_3, d_1 = \frac{1}{2a_2}, d_2 = 0, d_4 = 0, \tag{67}$$

$$\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 \in \mathbb{R},\ \beta_4 \in \mathbb{C},\ \beta_5 = \bar{\beta}_4. \tag{68}$$

Hence, the class of solutions of (13) has the form:

$$v(z^1, \bar{z}^1, z^2, \bar{z}^2) = \beta_1 + (\bar{a}_2 z^1 + a_2 \bar{z}^1 + \beta_2)^2 + \left(z^1 + \bar{z}^1 + \frac{1}{2\bar{a}_2} z^2 + \frac{1}{2a_2} \bar{z}^2 + \beta_3 \right)^2 + g_3 \left(\frac{1}{2\bar{a}_2} \bar{z}^1 + \bar{d}_3 \bar{z}^2 + \beta_4 \right) + \bar{g}_3 \left(\frac{1}{2\bar{a}_2} \bar{z}^1 + \bar{d}_3 \bar{z}^2 + \beta_4 \right), \tag{69}$$

where $\bar{g}_3$ is complex conjugation of g_3, i.e.: $g_3 = f(\Lambda), \bar{g}_3 = f(\bar{\Lambda})$, where $\Lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is the argument of g_3, given in (69) and $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 \in \mathbb{R}, a_2, d_3, \beta_4 \in \mathbb{C}$.

Now we need to check, whether the solutions, belonging to the found class, are non-invariant. We construct the matrix:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_1 \bar{a}_2 & \Gamma_1 a_2 & 0 & 0 \\ \Gamma_2 & \Gamma_2 & \frac{\Gamma_2}{2\bar{a}_2} & \frac{\Gamma_2}{2a_2} \\ 0 & \frac{g_3'}{2\bar{a}_2} & 0 & g_3' \bar{d}_3 \\ \frac{\bar{g}_3'}{2a_2} & 0 & \bar{g}_3' d_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{70}$$

where $\Gamma_1 = 2(\bar{a}_2 z^1 + a_2 \bar{z}^1 + \beta_2), \Gamma_2 = 2z^1 + 2\bar{z}^1 + \frac{z^2}{\bar{a}_2} + \frac{\bar{z}^2}{a_2} + 2\beta_3$. In order to provide non-invariance of the solutions belonging to the class (69), the determinant of this above matrix must not vanish:

$$\det(M) = -\frac{1}{2\bar{a}_2^2 a_2^2} (\bar{a}_2 z^1 + a_2 \bar{z}^1 + \beta_2)(2z^1 \bar{a}_2 a_2 + 2\bar{z}^1 \bar{a}_2 a_2 + z^2 a_2 + \bar{z}^2 \bar{a}_2 + 2\beta_3 \bar{a}_2 a_2) (4 d_3 \bar{d}_3 \bar{a}_2^2 a_2 - d_3 \bar{a}_2 - 4\bar{a}_2 a_2^2 d_3 \bar{d}_3 + \bar{d}_3 a_2) g_3' \bar{g}_3' \neq 0 \tag{71}$$

Let us assume that $a_2 \neq 0$. Hence, the solutions belonging to the class (69), depend on four variables and they are non-invariant, in the regions, where simultaneously the conditions: $\bar{a}_2 z^1 + a_2 \bar{z}^1 + \beta_2 \neq 0$ and $2z^1 \bar{a}_2 a_2 + 2\bar{z}^1 \bar{a}_2 a_2 + z^2 a_2 + \bar{z}^2 \bar{a}_2 + 2\beta_3 \bar{a}_2 a_2 \neq 0$ and $g_3' \neq 0$, hold.

Of course, this above found class of solutions of the elliptic complex Monge-Ampère equation (13), is the class of *real* solutions. Obviously, these solutions are not differentiable in $\mathbb{C}$ sense. They are differentiable in $\mathbb{R}$ sense. If we repeat these above computations, but in the variables $x^1 = \Re(p), x^2 = \Im(p), x^3 = \Re(z^2), x^4 = \Im(z^2)$, $x^k \in \mathbb{R}, (k = 1, 2, 3, 4)$, then it turns out that the function, given by (69), is still the class of exact solutions of elliptic complex Monge-Ampère equation (13) and these solutions are still non-invariant.

Hence, we have proved the following theorem:

Theorem 1 *The metric (14) with v, being some solution of elliptic complex Monge-Ampère equation (13), belonging to the class, given by by (69), when (71) and the conditions: $\bar{a}_2 z^1 + a_2 \bar{z}^1 + \beta_2 \neq 0$, $2z^1 \bar{a}_2 a_2 + 2\bar{z}^1 \bar{a}_2 a_2 + z^2 a_2 + \bar{z}^2 \bar{a}_2 + 2\beta_3 \bar{a}_2 a_2 \neq 0$ and $g_3' \neq 0$, are simultaneously satisfied, does not possess Killing vector.*

4.2 Classes of exact solutions of hyperbolic complex Monge-Ampère equation

In this subsection we look for the class of exact solutions of hyperbolic complex Monge-Ampère equation (21). To this effect, we apply directly the ansatz (7) for (21), in contrast with [4], where this equation was linearized and the obtained systems of linear equations were solved. Here: $u \equiv w$ and the independent variables are: $x^1 = p, x^2 = \bar{p}, x^3 = z^2, x^4 = \bar{z}^2$, and g_j $(j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$, are such functions of their arguments that $w(p, \bar{p}, z^2, \bar{z}^2) \in \mathbb{R}$. We search the class of non-invariant solutions of (21), given by (7) and (8), which satisfy (23).

After making the procedure described in the section 2, we obtain some system of nonlinear algebraic equations, so called determining algebraic system. Apart from satisfying of it, we require also satisfying of the following conditions: the condition (23), the condition of non-singularity of Jacobian matrix and the condition that the solution must be *real*.

We found three classes of non-invariant, exact solutions of (21), satisfying the mentioned conditions. These classes are given by (7) and by the following sets of relations between the coefficients:

1. for the class I

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_1 = a_2, a_3 = -\frac{a_2(d_1d_2 - d_2^2 - d_1d_4)}{d_1d_2}, a_4 = -\frac{a_2(d_1d_2 - d_2^2 - d_1d_4)}{d_1d_2}, \\
b_1 = d_2, b_2 = d_1, b_3 = d_4, b_4 = -\frac{d_1^2 - d_2^2 - d_1d_4}{d_2}, \\
c_1 = -iA, c_2 = iA, c_3 = -\frac{iA(d_1d_2 + d_2^2 + d_1d_4)}{d_1d_2}, c_4 = \frac{iA(d_1d_2 + d_2^2 + d_1d_4)}{d_1d_2}, \\
d_3 = -\frac{d_1^2 - d_2^2 - d_1d_4}{d_2},
\end{gathered}
\tag{72}
$$

in this case: $g_1, g_3 \in \mathbb{R}$, $g_2 \in \mathbb{C}, g_4 = \bar{g}_2$ (of course $\beta_5 = \bar{\beta}_3$),

2. for the class II

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_1 = 0,\ a_2 = 0,\ a_3 = \bar{a}_4,\ b_1 = 0,\ b_2 = 0,\ b_3 = \bar{b}_4, \\
c_1 = 0,\ c_2 = d_4,\ c_3 = d_4,\ c_4 = d_3,\ d_1 = d_4,\ d_2 = 0,
\end{gathered}
\tag{73}
$$

in this case: $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $g_3 \in \mathbb{C}, g_4 = \bar{g}_3$ (of course $\beta_5 = \bar{\beta}_4$),

3. for the class III

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_1 = A_2(1+i),\ a_2 = \bar{a}_1,\ a_3 = 2iA_2,\ a_4 = \bar{a}_3, \\
b_1 = \frac{\sqrt{B_3^2 + B_4^2}}{2}\left(1 + i\frac{B_3 + B_4 - \sqrt{B_3^2 + B_4^2}}{B_3 - B_4 + \sqrt{B_3^2 + B_4^2}}\right),\ b_2 = \bar{b}_1, \\
b_3 = B_3 + iB_4,\ b_4 = \bar{b}_3,\ c_1 = iC_2,\ c_2 = \bar{c}_1,\ c_3 = 0, c_4 = 0, \\
d_1 = H_2(-1+i),\ d_2 = \bar{d}_1,\ d_3 = 2iH_2,\ d_4 = \bar{d}_3
\end{gathered}
\tag{74}
$$

in this case: $g_j, (j = 1, 2, 3, 4) \in \mathbb{R}$.

In all these above cases, $g_j, (j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ are the functions of class $\mathcal{C}^2$. Hence, the found classes of the exact solutions of (21) have the form:

1. class I

$$
\begin{gathered}
w(p,\bar{p},z^2,\bar{z}^2)=\beta_1+\\
g_1\Bigg(a_2p+a_2\bar{p}-\frac{a_2(d_1d_2-d_2^2-d_1d_4)}{d_1d_2}z^2-\frac{a_2(d_1d_2-d_2^2-d_1d_4)}{d_1d_2}\bar{z}^2+\beta_2\Bigg)+\\
g_2\Bigg(d_2p+d_1\bar{p}+d_4z^2-\frac{d_1^2-d_2^2-d_1d_4}{d_2}\bar{z}^2+\beta_3\Bigg)+\\
g_3\Bigg(-iAp+iA\bar{p}-\frac{iA(d_1d_2+d_2^2+d_1d_4)}{d_1d_2}z^2+\frac{iA(d_1d_2+d_2^2+d_1d_4)}{d_1d_2}\bar{z}^2+\beta_4\Bigg)+\\
\bar{g}_2\Bigg(d_2p+d_1\bar{p}+d_4z^2-\frac{d_1^2-d_2^2-d_1d_4}{d_2}\bar{z}^2+\beta_3\Bigg),
\end{gathered}
\tag{75}
$$

2. class II

$$
\begin{gathered}
w(p,\bar{p},z^2,\bar{z}^2)=\beta_1+g_1(\bar{a}_4z^2+a_4\bar{z}^2+\beta_2)+g_2(\bar{b}_4z^2+b_4\bar{z}^2+\beta_3)+\\
g_3(d_4\bar{p}+d_4z^2+d_3\bar{z}^2+\beta_4)+\bar{g}_3(d_4\bar{p}+d_4z^2+d_3\bar{z}^2+\beta_4),
\end{gathered}
\tag{76}
$$

3. class III

$$
\begin{gathered}
w(p,\bar{p},z^2,\bar{z}^2)=\beta_1+g_1\Bigg(A_2(1+i)p+A_2(1-i)\bar{p}+2iA_2z^2-2iA_2\bar{z}^2+\beta_2\Bigg)+\\
g_2\Bigg(\frac{\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2}}{2}\left[1+i\frac{B_3+B_4-\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2}}{B_3-B_4+\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2}}\right]p+\\
\frac{\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2}}{2}\left[1-i\frac{B_3+B_4-\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2}}{B_3-B_4+\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2}}\right]\bar{p}+\\
(B_3+iB_4)z^2+(B_3-iB_4)\bar{z}^2+\beta_3\Bigg)+\\
g_3\Bigg(iC_2p-iC_2\bar{p}+\beta_4\Bigg)+\\
g_4\Bigg(H_2(-1+i)p+H_2(-1-i)\bar{p}+2iH_2z^2-2iH_2\bar{z}^2+\beta_5\Bigg),
\end{gathered}
\tag{77}
$$

where:

- for class I: g_1, g_3 are *arbitrary* real functions (of class $\mathcal{C}^2$) of their arguments, g_2 is some *arbitrary* complex function of its argument and $g_2 \in \mathcal{C}^2$, $\bar{g}_2$ is complex conjugation of g_2, i.e.: $g_2 = f(\Lambda), \bar{g}_2 = f(\bar{\Lambda})$, where $\Lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is the argument of g_2, given in (75) and $A, a_2, d_1, d_2, d_4, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_4 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta_3 \in \mathbb{C}$.
- for class II: g_1, g_2 are *arbitrary* real functions (of class $\mathcal{C}^2$) of their arguments, g_3 is some *arbitrary* complex function of its argument and $g_3 \in \mathcal{C}^2$, $\bar{g}_3$ is complex conjugation of g_3, i.e.: $g_3 = f(\Lambda), \bar{g}_3 = f(\bar{\Lambda})$, where $\Lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is the argument of g_3, given in (76) and $a_4, b_4 \in \mathbb{C}$, $d_3, d_4, \beta_k \in \mathbb{R}, (k = 1, 2, 3)$ and $\beta_4 \in \mathbb{C}$.
- for class III: $g_j, (j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ are *arbitrary* real functions (of class $\mathcal{C}^2$) of their arguments and $A_2, B_3, B_4, C_2, H_2, \beta_k \in \mathbb{R}, (k = 1, ..., 5)$.

Now, we check, whether the condition (23) is satisfied by the solutions belonging to the classes I, II and III. It turns out that it is satisfied, when:

1. for class I

$$a_2^2(d_1 - d_2)^2 g_1''(\bar{g}_2'' + g_2'') - A^2(d_1 + d_2)^2 g_3''(\bar{g}_2'' + g_2'') + (d_1^2 - d_2^2)^2 g_2''\bar{g}_2'' - 4a_2^2 A^2 g_1'' g_3'' \neq 0, \tag{78}$$

2. for class II

$$d_4^4 g_3'' \bar{g}_3'' \neq 0, \tag{79}$$

3. for class III (relation (136) in Appendix A),

where $g_j'', (j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ denotes second derivative of the function g_j with respect to its argument.

Next, basing on the considerations included in the subsubsection 3.1.2, we make the analysis of non-invariance of the solutions, belonging to the found classes. The Jacobian matrices have the forms:

1. for class I

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a_2 g_1' & a_2 g_1' & -a_2 \frac{d_1 d_2 - d_2^2 - d_1 d_4}{d_1 d_2} g_1' & -a_2 \frac{d_1 d_2 - d_2^2 - d_1 d_4}{d_1 d_2} g_1' \\ d_2 g_2' & d_1 g_2' & d_4 g_2' & -\frac{d_1^2 - d_2^2 - d_1 d_4}{d_2} g_2' \\ -iA g_3' & iA g_3' & -\frac{iA(d_1 d_2 + d_2^2 + d_1 d_4)}{d_1 d_2} g_3' & \frac{iA(d_1 d_2 + d_2^2 + d_1 d_4)}{d_1 d_2} g_3' \\ d_1 \bar{g}_2' & d_2 \bar{g}_2' & -\frac{d_1^2 - d_2^2 - d_1 d_4}{d_2} \bar{g}_2' & d_4 \bar{g}_2' \end{pmatrix}, \tag{80}$$

2. for class II

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \bar{a}_4 g_1' & a_4 g_1' \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{b}_4 g_2' & b_4 g_2' \\ 0 & d_4 g_3' & d_4 g_3' & d_3 g_3' \\ d_4 \bar{g}_3' & 0 & d_3 \bar{g}_3' & d_4 \bar{g}_3' \end{pmatrix}, \tag{81}$$

3. for class III

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} A_2(1+i) g_1' & A_2(1-i) g_1' & 2iA_2 g_1' & -2iA_2 g_1' \\ N_1 g_2' & \bar{N}_1 g_2' & (B_3 + iB_4) g_2' & (B_3 - iB_4) g_2' \\ iC_2 g_3' & -iC_2 g_3' & 0 & 0 \\ H_2(-1+i) g_4' & H_2(-1-i) g_4' & 2iH_2 g_4' & -2iH_2 g_4' \end{pmatrix}, \tag{82}$$

where g_j', $(j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ denotes the first derivative of the function g_j with respect to its argument and $N_1 = \frac{\sqrt{B_3^2 + B_4^2}}{2} \left(1 + i \frac{B_3 + B_4 - \sqrt{B_3^2 + B_4^2}}{B_3 - B_4 + \sqrt{B_3^2 + B_4^2}} \right)$. From the requirement of non-vanishing of the determinants of these above matrices, we have:

1. for the class I

$$\det M = \frac{2ia_2 A}{d_1^2 d_2^2} (d_1^6 - d_2^6 - 3d_1^4 d_2^2 + 3d_1^2 d_2^4) g_1' g_2' \bar{g}_2' g_3' \neq 0, \tag{83}$$

2. for the class II

$$\det M = -(\bar{a}_4 b_4 - a_4 \bar{b}_4) d_4^2 g_1' g_2' g_3' \bar{g}_3' \neq 0, \tag{84}$$

3. for the class III

$$\det M = 16 A_2 B_3 C_2 H_2 g_1' g_2' g_3' g_4' \neq 0. \tag{85}$$

Let's assume additionally for class I:

$$d_1 d_2 \neq 0. \tag{86}$$

Let us **fix** now the functions $g_j, (j = 1, ..., 4)$ in (75), (76) and (77), but such that the conditions (83) (together with (78) and (86)), (84) (together with (79)) and (85) (together with and $B_3 - B_4 + \sqrt{B_3^2 + B_4^2} \neq 0$ and (A.1)) will be still satisfied, correspondingly.

We can now repeat from the subsubsection 3.1.2 (basing on [4]), that the equation (24) cannot be satisfied identically for any solution of Legendre-transformed hyperbolic complex Monge-Ampère equation (21) just by proper choice of the functions $\xi^1, \xi^{\bar{1}}, \xi^2, \xi^{\bar{2}}, h, \bar{h}$, because the variables $p, \bar{p}, w_{,z^2}, w_{,\bar{z}^2}$ explicitly enter into the coefficients of this equation.
$\Sigma_j,\ (j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ are linearly independent, for the three above classes of solutions. So, the transformations from $p, \bar{p}, z^2, \bar{z}^2$ to Σ_j are invertible and we can express $p, \bar{p}, z^2, \bar{z}^2$ through $\Sigma_j,\ (j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$, so that $\Sigma_j,\ (j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$, can be chosen as new independent variables in (24) and after inserting each of above classes of solutions into the equation (24), this equation becomes:

$$F_3(\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \Sigma_3, \Sigma_4) = 0. \tag{87}$$

For example, if we choose $g_j = \exp(\Sigma_j)$ in the above found classes of solutions, especially in (77), we obtain form similar to the form of the ansatz (25), if $n = 4$. So, the solutions, belonging to the above classes: (75) or (76) or (77), with such fixed functions $g_k, (k = 1, ..., 4)$, do not have functional arbitrariness. Hence, after taking into account these above arguments, we see that these solutions cannot satisfy the first-order Killing equation (24). Thus, we see that the Killing equation (24) cannot be satisfied identically for any solution, belonging to the classes of the form: (75) or (76) or (77).

Of course, all these above found classes of solutions of the hyperbolic complex Monge-Ampère equation (21), are the classes of *real* solutions. Obviously, these solutions are not differentiable in $\mathbb{C}$ sense. They are differentiable in $\mathbb{R}$ sense. If we repeat these above computations, but in the variables $x^1 = \Re(p), x^2 = \Im(p), x^3 = \Re(z^2), x^4 = \Im(z^2),\ x^k \in \mathbb{R}, (k = 1, 2, 3, 4)$, then it turns out that the functions, given either by (75) or by (75) or by (77), are still the classes of exact solutions of hyperbolic complex Monge-Ampère equation (21), these solutions are still non-invariant and the condition (23) is still satisfied.

Hence, we have proved the following theorem:

Theorem 2 *The metric (22) with w, being some solution of hyperbolic complex Monge-Ampère equation (21), belonging to any class, defined by:*

1. *(75) - class I, (when the relations: (78), (83) and (86) hold)*
2. *(76) - class II, (when the relations: (79), (84) hold)*
3. *(77) - class III, (when the relations: (136), (85) and $B_3 - B_4 + \sqrt{B_3^2 + B_4^2} \neq 0$ hold),*

where the functions $g_j, (j = 1, ..., 4)$, are fixed, does not possess Killing vector.

4.3 Classes of exact solutions of second heavenly equation

Now, in order to find new classes of non-invariant solutions of heavenly equation, we use decomposition method, in the cases of equal symmetries and higher symmetries. We apply the ansatz (7) for the systems (38)-(40) and (41)-(43), but now $u \equiv \vartheta$, the independent variables are: $x^1 = x, x^2 = r, x^3 = t, x^4 = z$, and g_k, $(k = 1, 2, 3, 4)$, are *arbitrary* holomorphic, complex-valued functions of their arguments. The ansatz (7) presents the class of solutions of the systems (38)-(40), (41)-(43), when the relations, which must be satisfied by the coefficients, are, as follows:

1. for equations (38)-(40) - the case of equal symmetries

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 &= \frac{a_2(a_2+a_3)}{a_3}, & a_4 &= -\frac{a_2(a_2+a_3)^2}{a_3^2}, \\
b_1 &= \frac{b_2(b_2+b_3)}{b_3}, & b_4 &= -\frac{b_2(b_2+b_3)^2}{b_3^2}, \\
c_1 &= \frac{c_2(c_2+c_3)}{c_3}, & c_4 &= -\frac{c_2(c_2+c_3)^2}{c_3^2}, \\
d_1 &= \frac{d_2(d_2+d_3)}{d_3}, & d_4 &= -\frac{d_2(d_2+d_3)^2}{d_3^2},
\end{aligned} \tag{88}$$

2. for equations (41)-(43) - the case of higher symmetry

- subclass I

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 &= \frac{a_2^2}{a_1}, \quad a_4 = -\frac{a_1^2}{a_2}, \\ b_3 &= \frac{b_2^2}{b_1}, \quad b_4 = -\frac{b_1^2}{b_2}, \\ c_3 &= \frac{c_2^2}{c_1}, \quad c_4 = -\frac{c_1^2}{c_2}, \\ d_3 &= \frac{d_2^2}{d_1}, \quad d_4 = -\frac{d_1^2}{d_2}, \end{aligned} \tag{89}$$

- subclass II

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= \frac{a_2^2}{a_3}, \quad a_4 = -\frac{a_2^3}{a_3^2}, \\ b_1 &= \frac{b_2^2}{b_3}, \quad b_4 = -\frac{b_2^3}{b_3^2}, \\ c_1 &= \frac{c_2^2}{c_3}, \quad c_4 = -\frac{c_2^3}{c_3^2}, \\ d_1 &= \frac{d_2^2}{d_3}, \quad d_4 = -\frac{d_2^3}{d_3^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{90}$$

The parameters $\beta_k, (k = 1, ..., 5)$, occuring in (7) and (8), are, in this case, arbitrary constants.

We check now, whether the condition (35) of existence of Legendre transformation (34) is satisfied for the ansatz (7) and for the three sets of the relations of the coefficients (88), (89) and (90). It turns out that this condition is satisfied for this ansatz and for these above three sets of relations of coefficients, if these below conditions are satisfied:

- for (88) and for (90), $(g_j, (j = 1, ..., 4)$, are the functions of the arguments, including the coefficients, which satisfy correspondingly (88) and (90)):

$$\begin{gathered} (b_2d_3 - b_3d_2)^2 g_2'' g_4'' + (b_2c_3 - b_3c_2)^2 g_2'' g_3'' + (a_2d_3 - a_3d_2)^2 g_1'' g_4'' + \\ (c_2a_3 - c_3a_2)^2 g_1'' g_3'' + (a_2b_3 - a_3b_2)^2 g_1'' g_2'' + (c_2d_3 - c_3d_2)^2 g_3'' g_4'' \neq 0, \end{gathered} \tag{91}$$

- for (89)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{(b_2^4a_1^2c_1^2d_1^2a_2^2 - 2a_2^3b_1c_1^2d_1^2b_2^3a_1 + a_2^4b_1^2c_1^2d_1^2b_2^2)g_1''g_2''}{a_1^2b_1^2c_1^2d_1^2}+\\
&\frac{(-2a_2^3b_1^2c_1d_1^2c_2^3a_1 + c_2^4a_1^2b_1^2d_1^2a_2^2 + a_2^4b_1^2c_1^2d_1^2c_2^2)g_1''g_3''}{a_1^2b_1^2c_1^2d_1^2}+\\
&\frac{(-2a_2^3b_1^2c_1^2d_1d_2^3a_1 + a_2^4b_1^2c_1^2d_1^2d_2^2 + d_2^4a_1^2b_1^2c_1^2a_2^2)g_1''g_4''}{a_1^2b_1^2c_1^2d_1^2}+\\
&\frac{(c_2^4a_1^2b_1^2d_1^2b_2^2 + b_2^4a_1^2c_1^2d_1^2c_2^2 - 2b_2^3a_1^2c_1d_1^2c_2^3b_1)g_2''g_3''}{a_1^2b_1^2c_1^2d_1^2}+\\
&\frac{(-2b_2^3a_1^2c_1^2d_1d_2^3b_1 + b_2^4a_1^2c_1^2d_1^2d_2^2 + d_2^4a_1^2b_1^2c_1^2b_2^2)g_2''g_4''}{a_1^2b_1^2c_1^2d_1^2}+\\
&\frac{(d_2^4a_1^2b_1^2c_1^2c_2^2 + c_2^4a_1^2b_1^2d_1^2d_2^2 - 2c_2^3a_1^2b_1^2d_1d_2^3c_1)g_3''g_4''}{a_1^2b_1^2c_1^2d_1^2} \neq 0,
\end{aligned}
\tag{92}
$$

where $g_j'', (j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ denotes the second derivative of the function g_j with respect to its argument.

Now, basing on the considerations included in the subsubsection 3.2.2, we make the analysis of non-invariance of these above classes of exact solutions of second heavenly equation. Namely, we check now, whether $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \Sigma_3, \Sigma_4$ are linearly independent, i.e. the transformations from x, r, t, z to $\Sigma_j, (j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ are invertible. The Jacobian matrices are the following:

1. for the case of equal symmetries (when the relations (88) hold)

$$
M = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{a_2(a_2+a_3)}{a_3}g_1' & a_2g_1' & a_3g_1' & -\frac{a_2(a_2+a_3)^2}{a_3^2}g_1' \\
\frac{b_2(b_2+b_3)}{b_3}g_2' & b_2g_2' & b_3g_2' & -\frac{b_2(b_2+b_3)^2}{b_3^2}g_2' \\
\frac{c_2(c_2+c_3)}{c_3}g_3' & c_2g_3' & c_3g_3' & -\frac{c_2(c_2+c_3)^2}{c_3^2}g_3' \\
\frac{d_2(d_2+d_3)}{d_3}g_4' & d_2g_4' & d_3g_4' & -\frac{d_2(d_2+d_3)^2}{d_3^2}g_4'
\end{pmatrix},
\tag{93}
$$

2. for the case of higher symmetry (when the relations (89) hold)

$$
M = \begin{pmatrix}
a_1g_1' & a_2g_1' & \frac{a_2^2}{a_1}g_1' & -\frac{a_1^2}{a_2}g_1' \\
b_1g_2' & b_2g_2' & \frac{b_2^2}{b_1}g_2' & -\frac{b_1^2}{b_2}g_2' \\
c_1g_3' & c_2g_3' & \frac{c_2^2}{c_1}g_3' & -\frac{c_1^2}{c_2}g_3' \\
d_1g_4' & d_2g_4' & \frac{d_2^2}{d_1}g_4' & -\frac{d_1^2}{d_2}g_4'
\end{pmatrix},
\tag{94}
$$

3. for the case of higher symmetry (when the relations (90) hold)

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a_2^2}{a_3} g_1' & a_2 g_1' & a_3 g_1' & -\frac{a_2^3}{a_3^2} g_1' \\ \frac{b_2^2}{b_3} g_2' & b_2 g_2' & b_3 g_2' & -\frac{b_2^3}{b_3^2} g_2' \\ \frac{c_2^2}{c_3} g_3' & c_2 g_3' & c_3 g_3' & -\frac{c_2^3}{c_3^2} g_3' \\ \frac{d_2^2}{d_3} g_4' & d_2 g_4' & d_3 g_4' & -\frac{d_2^3}{d_3^2} g_4' \end{pmatrix}, \tag{95}$$

where g_j' $(j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$, is the first derivative of the function g_j with respect to its argument. We require non-vanishing of the Jacobians:

- - the case of equal symmetries

$$\begin{gathered} \det M = \frac{g_1' g_2' g_3' g_4'}{a_3^2 b_3^2 d_3^2 c_3^2} \Big(- a_2^2 a_3 b_2 b_3^2 c_3^3 d_2^3 + a_2^2 a_3 b_2 b_3^2 c_2^3 d_3^3 \\ -a_2^2 a_3 c_2 c_3^2 d_3^3 b_2^3 + a_2^2 a_3 c_2 c_3^2 b_3^3 d_2^3 - a_2^2 a_3 d_2 d_3^2 b_3^3 c_2^3 + \\ a_2^2 a_3 d_2 d_3^2 c_3^3 b_2^3 + b_2^2 b_3 a_2 a_3^2 c_3^3 d_2^3 - b_2^2 b_3 a_2 a_3^2 c_2^3 d_3^3 \\ +b_2^2 b_3 c_2 c_3^2 d_3^3 a_2^3 - b_2^2 b_3 c_2 c_3^2 a_3^3 d_2^3 + b_2^2 b_3 d_2 d_3^2 a_3^3 c_2^3 - \\ b_2^2 b_3 d_2 d_3^2 c_3^3 a_2^3 + c_2^2 c_3 a_2 a_3^2 d_3^3 b_2^3 - c_2^2 c_3 a_2 a_3^2 b_3^3 d_2^3 \\ -c_2^2 c_3 b_2 b_3^2 d_3^3 a_2^3 + c_2^2 c_3 b_2 b_3^2 a_3^3 d_2^3 - c_2^2 c_3 d_2 d_3^2 a_3^3 b_2^3 + \\ c_2^2 c_3 d_2 d_3^2 b_3^3 a_2^3 + d_2^2 d_3 a_2 a_3^2 b_3^3 c_2^3 - d_2^2 d_3 a_2 a_3^2 c_3^3 b_2^3 \\ -d_2^2 d_3 b_2 b_3^2 a_3^3 c_2^3 + d_2^2 d_3 b_2 b_3^2 c_3^3 a_2^3 + d_2^2 d_3 c_2 c_3^2 a_3^3 b_2^3 - \\ d_2^2 d_3 c_2 c_3^2 b_3^3 a_2^3 \Big) \neq 0, \end{gathered} \tag{96}$$

- - the case of higher symmetry - subclass I

$$\det M = \frac{g_1'g_2'g_3'g_4'}{c_1d_2c_2d_1b_2b_1a_2a_1}\Big(- a_1^2a_2b_2^2b_1c_2^3d_1^3 + a_1^2a_2b_2^2b_1c_1^3d_2^3$$
$$-a_1^2a_2c_2^2c_1d_2^3b_1^3 + a_1^2a_2c_2^2c_1b_2^3d_1^3 - a_1^2a_2d_2^2d_1b_2^3c_1^3 +$$
$$a_1^2a_2d_2^2d_1c_2^3b_1^3 + b_1^2b_2a_2^2a_1c_2^3d_1^3 - b_1^2b_2a_2^2a_1c_1^3d_2^3$$
$$+b_1^2b_2c_2^2c_1d_2^3{a_1}^3 - b_1^2b_2c_2^2c_1a_2^3d_1^3 + b_1^2b_2d_2^2d_1a_2^3c_1^3 -$$
$$b_1^2b_2d_2^2d_1c_2^3a_1^3 + c_1^2c_2a_2^2a_1d_2^3b_1^3 - c_1^2c_2a_2^2a_1b_2^3d_1^3 \tag{97}$$
$$-c_1^2c_2b_2^2b_1d_2^3a_1^3 + c_1^2c_2b_2^2b_1a_2^3d_1^3 - c_1^2c_2d_2^2d_1a_2^3b_1^3 +$$
$$c_1^2c_2d_2^2d_1b_2^3a_1^3 + d_1^2d_2a_2^2a_1b_2^3c_1^3 - d_1^2d_2a_2^2a_1c_2^3b_1^3$$
$$-d_1^2d_2b_2^2b_1a_2^3c_1^3 + d_1^2d_2b_2^2b_1c_2^3a_1^3 + d_1^2d_2c_2^2c_1a_2^3b_1^3 -$$
$$d_1^2d_2c_2^2c_1b_2^3a_1^3\Big) \neq 0,$$

- - the case of higher symmetry - subclass II

$$\det M = \frac{g_1'g_2'g_3'g_4'}{a_3^2b_3^2d_3^2c_3^2}\Big(- a_2^2a_3b_2b_3^2c_3^3d_2^3 + a_2^2a_3b_2b_3^2c_2^3d_3^3 - a_2^2a_3c_2c_3^2d_3^3b_2^3 +$$
$$a_2^2a_3c_2c_3^2b_3^3d_2^3 - a_2^2a_3d_2d_3^2b_3^3c_2^3 + a_2^2a_3d_2d_3^2c_3^3b_2^3 +$$
$$b_2^2b_3a_2a_3^2c_3^3d_2^3 - b_2^2b_3a_2a_3^2c_2^3d_3^3 + b_2^2b_3c_2c_3^2d_3^3a_2^3 -$$
$$b_2^2b_3c_2c_3^2a_3^3d_2^3 + b_2^2b_3d_2d_3^2a_3^3c_2^3 - b_2^2b_3d_2d_3^2c_3^3a_2^3 +$$
$$c_2^2c_3a_2a_3^2d_3^3b_2^3 - c_2^2c_3a_2a_3^2b_3^3d_2^3 - c_2^2c_3b_2b_3^2d_3^3a_2^3 +$$
$$c_2^2c_3b_2b_3^2a_3^3d_2^3 - c_2^2c_3d_2d_3^2a_3^3b_2^3 + c_2^2c_3d_2d_3^2b_3^3a_2^3 +$$
$$d_2^2d_3a_2a_3^2b_3^3c_2^3 - d_2^2d_3a_2a_3^2c_3^3b_2^3 - d_2^2d_3b_2b_3^2a_3^3c_2^3 +$$
$$d_2^2d_3b_2b_3^2c_3^3a_2^3 + d_2^2d_3c_2c_3^2a_3^3b_2^3 - d_2^2d_3c_2c_3^2b_3^3a_2^3\Big) \neq 0. \tag{98}$$

We can now again repeat the reasonings: from the subsubsection 3.1.2 (basing on [4]), that the equation (24) cannot be satisfied identically for any solution of Legendre transformed second heavenly equation of Plebański (36) and from previous subsubsection.
In these above three cases: $\det(M) \neq 0$, when the corresponding polynomials, included in (96), (97), (98), do not possess zeroes and $g_1'g_2'g_3'g_4' \neq 0$.

So, after assumption that $a_3b_3c_3d_3 \neq 0$ (the case of equal symmetries) and $c_1d_2c_2d_1b_2b_1a_2a_1 \neq 0$, $a_3b_3d_3c_3 \neq 0$ (the case of higher symmetries), we may say that $\Sigma_1 = a_1x + a_2r + a_3t + a_4z + \beta_2, ..., \Sigma_4 = d_1x + d_2r + d_3t + d_4z + \beta_5$ (where the coefficients satisfy (88), (89) and (90), correspondingly), are linearly independent and the transformations from x, r, t, z to $\Sigma_j, (j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$, are invertible. Then, we can express x, r, t, z by $\Sigma_j, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, so we can choose $\Sigma_j, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, as new independent variables in (50). After inserting each of above classes of solutions into Killing equation (50), we obtain a relation of the form:

$$F_4(\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \Sigma_3, \Sigma_4) = 0. \tag{99}$$

These above classes of exact solutions have been obtained, by solving systems of second-order linear equations together with Legendre-transformed second heavenly equation (36), they are determined up to arbitrary constants, because we may choose each of the function $g_j = f(\Sigma_j)$, as $f(\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2\Sigma_j)$, where $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$ are arbitrary constants. Let us **fix** now the functions $g_k, (k = 1, ..., 4)$ in found classes of solutions, but such that the conditions (96) (together with (91)), (97) (together with (92)) and (98) (together with (91)), are satisfied, correspondingly. For example, if we choose $g_j = \exp(\Sigma_j)$ in the above found classes of solutions, we obtain solutions similar to the solutions given either by (52) and (53) or by (52) and (54), if $n = 4$. So, having no functional arbitrariness, the solutions, belonging to these above classes with fixed functions $g_k, (k = 1, ..., 4)$, cannot satisfy in addition the first-order equation (50). Hence, Killing equation (50), cannot be tautology for the solutions belonging to these above classes, for the Legendre-transformed potential $\Pi(x, r, t, z)$, satisfying (51), and for suitable choice of the functions $q, c, e, \rho, \sigma, \psi$ and the constants a, k.

Thus, we have showed that the metric (37) with ϑ, being a solution, belonging to the classes, given by (7) and $\Sigma_1 = a_1x+a_2r+a_3t+a_4z+\beta_2, ..., \Sigma_4 = d_1x + d_2r + d_3t + d_4z + \beta_5$ (where in the case of the system (38)-(40), the coefficients satisfy the relations (88) and in the case of the system (41)-(43), the coefficients satisfy the relations (89) or (90)) and the functions $g_j, (j = 1, ..., 4)$ are fixed, does not possess Killing vector.

In order to obtain some extensions of classes of the solutions, given by (7) and (88), (89), (90) - the series of n functions g_i, it is convenient to write the ansatz down in the convention applied in the formula (52) from [4]. Namely, the argument in each function g_j is now: $\alpha_j x + \gamma_j r + \zeta_j t + \lambda_j z + \beta_j$. Hence, our ansatz has the form:

$$\vartheta(x, r, t, z) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} g_j(\Sigma_j), \tag{100}$$

where g_j are *arbitrary* holomorphic functions of:

$$\Sigma_j = \alpha_j x + \gamma_j r + \zeta_j t + \lambda_j z + \beta_j. \tag{101}$$

Obviously, now the notation of the coefficients changes. We give here this change for $j = 1, ..., 4$:

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= \alpha_1, \quad a_2 = \gamma_1, \quad a_3 = \zeta_1, \quad a_4 = \lambda_1, \\ b_1 &= \alpha_2, \quad b_2 = \gamma_2, \quad b_3 = \zeta_2, \quad b_4 = \lambda_2, \\ c_1 &= \alpha_3, \quad c_2 = \gamma_3, \quad c_3 = \zeta_3, \quad c_4 = \lambda_3, \\ d_1 &= \alpha_4, \quad d_2 = \gamma_4, \quad d_3 = \zeta_4, \quad d_4 = \lambda_4. \end{aligned} \tag{102}$$

Then, (100) is some class of solutions of the systems (38)-(40) and (41)-(43) (correspondingly) and in consequence, of second heavenly equation (36), if the coefficients satisfy the following relations:

1. for the case of equal symmetries

$$\alpha_j = \frac{\gamma_j(\gamma_j + \zeta_j)}{\zeta_j}, \quad \lambda_j = -\frac{\gamma_j(\gamma_j + \zeta_j)^2}{\zeta_j^2}, \tag{103}$$

2. for the case of higher symmetry we found two subclasses

   - I subclass

$$\zeta_j = \frac{\gamma_j^2}{\alpha_j}, \quad \lambda_j = -\frac{\alpha_j^2}{\gamma_j}, \tag{104}$$

- II subclass

$$\alpha_j = \frac{\gamma_j^2}{\zeta_j}, \quad \lambda_j = -\frac{\gamma_j^3}{\zeta_j^2}. \tag{105}$$

In both cases: of equal symmetries and higher symmetry, β_j are arbitrary constants.

Moreover, if n is unbounded, then the series (100) is infinite. So, now we need to apply some properties of functional series, [24]. If n is finite, then (100) is usual finite sum of the functions g_j and further considerations are not necessary.

Then, from the requirement of differentiability of (100), we have the requirement that it needs to be convergent. Also, from the requirement of differentiability of (100), we see that the corresponding series (for the coefficients satisfying the relations (103)):

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} g_j \ , \ \cdots \ , \ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} g_j, \tag{106}$$

need to be uniformly convergent.

Further, from the requirement of differentiability of the series (106), the consecutive series, including the terms obtained by computing the derivatives in (106) (for the coefficients satisfying the relations (103)):

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(g_j' \frac{\gamma_j(\gamma_j + \zeta_j)}{\zeta_j} \right) \ , \ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(g_j' \frac{\gamma_j(\gamma_j + \zeta_j)}{\zeta_j} \right), \ \textit{etc. etc.} \tag{107}$$

need to be uniformly convergent, too, that the following system, obtained from inserting (100) into (38)-(40), when the relations (103) hold:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} g''_j \gamma_j \zeta_j + \sum_{j=1}^{n} g''_j \gamma_j^2 - \sum_{j=1}^{n} g''_j \gamma_j (\gamma_j + \zeta_j) = 0, \\
\sum_{j=1}^{n} g''_j \frac{\gamma_j^2 (\gamma_j + \zeta_j)^2}{\zeta_j^2} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(- g''_j \frac{\gamma_j^2 (\gamma_j + \zeta_j)^2}{\zeta_j^2} \right) = 0, \\
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{g''_j \gamma_j^2 (\gamma_j + \zeta_j)}{\zeta_j} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} g''_j \gamma_j (\gamma_j + \zeta_j) + \\
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(- \frac{g''_j \gamma_j (\gamma_j + \zeta_j)^2}{\zeta_j} \right) = 0,
\end{gathered}
\tag{108}
$$

will be satisfied. However, the equation (36) is nonlinear and in the case of infinite n we need to check satisfying of this equation by (100) (when the relations (103) hold). Namely, if we insert into this equation the solution (100) with the coefficients satisfying (103), then, we obtain:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} g''_j \zeta_j^2 \right] \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} g''_j \frac{\gamma_j^2 (\gamma_j + \zeta_j)^2}{\zeta_j^2} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(- g''_j \frac{\gamma_j^2 (\gamma_j + \zeta_j)^2}{\zeta_j^2} \right) \right] \\
+ \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} g''_j \gamma_j (\gamma_j + \zeta_j) \right] \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} g''_j \gamma_j^2 - \sum_{j=1}^{n} g''_j \gamma_j (\gamma_j + \zeta_j) \right] - \\
\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} g''_j \gamma_j \zeta_j \right] \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} g''_j \frac{\gamma_j^2 (\gamma_j + \zeta_j)}{\zeta_j} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(- g''_j \frac{\gamma_j (\gamma_j + \zeta_j)^2}{\zeta_j} \right) \right] = 0
\end{gathered}
\tag{109}
$$

and we see that the uniform convergence of the series (107) is not sufficient in order to satisfying (109). Namely, as we see, the first term in (109) vanishes (we have the convergence of the series $\sum_{j=1}^{n} g''_j \frac{\gamma_j^2 (\gamma_j + \zeta_j)^2}{\zeta_j^2}$ from the previously mentioned requirement of differentiability) and we must require that the series occuring in (109), among others, the series:

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} g''_j \gamma_j^2, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n} g''_j \gamma_j \zeta_j \tag{110}
$$

need to be absolutely summable.

Analogically, in the case of higher symmetry or of the system (41)-(43), the series (100) needs also to be convergent. Also, (for the case of the relations (104) and (105)) from the requirement of differentiability of (100), we see that the corresponding series:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} g_j \ , \ \dots \ , \ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} g_j, \tag{111}$$

need to be uniformly convergent.

Further, from the requirement of differentiability of the series (111), the consecutive series, including the terms obtained by computing the derivatives in (111):

- when the relations (104) hold

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\Big(g_j'\alpha_j\Big) \ , \ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\Big(g_j'\gamma_j\Big), \ \textit{etc. etc.} \tag{112}$$

- when the relations (105) hold

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\Big(g_j'\frac{\gamma_j^2}{\zeta_j}\Big) \ , \ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\Big(g_j'\gamma_j\Big), \ \textit{etc. etc.} \tag{113}$$

need to be uniformly convergent, too, that the following systems, obtained from inserting (100) into (41)-(43), (the equation (41) is satisfied identically and it is here neglected):

- for the coefficients satisfying the relations (104)

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_j''\alpha_j\gamma_j + \sum_{j=1}^{n}\Big(-g_j''\alpha_j\gamma_j\Big) = 0, \\ &\sum_{j=1}^{n}\Big(g_j''\alpha_j^2\Big) + \sum_{j=1}^{n}\Big(-g_j''\alpha_j^2\Big) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{114}$$

- for the coefficients satisfying the relations (105)

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=1}^{n} g''_j \frac{\gamma_j^3}{\zeta_j} + \sum_{j=1}^{n}\Big(- g''_j \frac{\gamma_j^3}{\zeta_j}\Big) = 0,\\ \sum_{j=1}^{n}\Big(g''_j \frac{\gamma_j^4}{\zeta_j^2}\Big) + \sum_{j=1}^{n}\Big(- g''_j \frac{\gamma_j^4}{\zeta_j^2}\Big) = 0,\end{aligned} \tag{115}$$

will be satisfied.

As we see, the ansatz (100), with the relations (101), (104), is some direct generalization of the solution given by (52) and (54), found in [4]. We check now, whether the condition (35) is satisfied for these three classes of solutions given by the ansatz (100) and (103), (104), (105), correspondingly. It turns out that it is satisfied for these three classes, if the following relations hold:

1. - for (103)

$$\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{n} g''_j \zeta_j^2\Big)\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{n} g''_j \gamma_j^2\Big) - \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{n} g''_j \gamma_j \zeta_j\Big)^2 \neq 0, \tag{116}$$

2. - for (104)

$$\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{g''_j \gamma_j^4}{\alpha_j^2}\Big)\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{n} g''_j \gamma_j^2\Big) - \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{g''_j \gamma_j^3}{\alpha_j}\Big)^2 \neq 0, \tag{117}$$

3. - for (105)

$$\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{n} g''_j \zeta_j^2\Big)\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{n} g''_j \gamma_j^2\Big) - \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{n} g''_j \gamma_j \zeta_j\Big)^2 \neq 0. \tag{118}$$

However, in the case of equal symmetries, i.e. if the coefficients satisfy the relations (103), we assumed previously that the series (110) needed to be absolutely summable and this indicates that the condition (116) is not satisfied

- from Mertens' Theorem, [25]. Hence, in this case, n in (100) must be *finite* *and the class of solutions given by (100) and the relations (103), cannot be infinite functional series. This class is simple finite sum of the functions g_j. So, in this case, the requirement of convergence of the series is not necessary.* Fortunately, in the case of higher symmetry, i.e. when the relations (104) and (105) are satisfied, the equation (36) is satisfied automatically, when the system of linear equations (41)-(43) is satisfied. Hence, in this case, n can be unbounded, the class of solutions given either by (100) and the relations (104) or by (100) and the relations (105), can be infinite functional series and for these classes the requirement of uniform convergence of corresponding series is sufficient for satisfying the equation (36). Thus, in the case of higher symmetry, the series, including terms, obtained from differentation of (100), need to be uniformly convergent, they cannot be absolutely summable, in order the conditions (117) and (118) will be satisfied. Of course in both cases: of equal symmetries and higher symmetry, the second derivatives of all functions g_j need to be non-zero: $g_j'' \neq 0$.

The conditions (96), (97), (98) are satisfied also for (100) - (101) and (103), (104), (105), correspondingly, but, of course, the notation for the coefficients $a_j, b_j, c_j, d_j, (j = 1, ..., 4)$, changes according to (102).
Hence, now we may repeat the similar reasonings, as previously and we may say that if $n \geq 4$, then $\Sigma_j = \alpha_j x + \gamma_j r + \zeta_j t + \lambda_j z + \beta_j, (j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$, are linearly independent and the transformations from x, r, t, z to $\Sigma_j, (j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ are invertible, when the conditions: (96) - for the equal symmetries and (97), (98) - for the higher symmetry, are satisfied (after taking into consideration the relations (102)). Hence, we can express x, r, t, z by Σ_i and the same for $\Sigma_5, ..., \Sigma_n$, so we can choose $\Sigma_j, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, as new independent variables in (50). Hence, after inserting any solution belonging to each of the classes, given either by (100), (101), (103) or by (100), (101), (104) or by (100), (101), (105), correspondingly, into the Killing equation (50), this equation will possess the form like (99).

The solutions belonging to such classes, obtained by solving the systems of linear equations together with Legendre-transformed second heavenly equation (36), are determined up to arbitrary constants, because we may choose each of the function $g_j = f(\Sigma_j)$, as $f(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 \Sigma_j)$, where $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$ are arbitrary constants. Now, let us **fix** the functions $g_j, (j = 1, ..., n)$ in (100) for each of obtained classes, but such that the conditions (96) (together with (116)), (97) (together with (117)) and (98) (together with (118)) are satisfied, correspondingly (of course, the notation for the coefficients

$a_j, b_j, c_j, d_j, (j = 1, ..., 4)$, changes according to (102) and so, the relations: $\zeta_1\zeta_2\zeta_3\zeta_4 \neq 0$, $\alpha_1\gamma_1\alpha_2\gamma_2\alpha_3\gamma_3\alpha_4\gamma_4 \neq 0$ and $\zeta_1\zeta_2\zeta_3\zeta_4 \neq 0$, need to hold, correspondingly). For example, if we choose $g_j = \exp(\Sigma_j)$ in (100), then we obtain form similar to the form of the ansatz (52). So, after fixing functions $g_j, (j = 1, ..., n)$, these solutions, having no functional arbitrariness, cannot solve in addition, the first-order Killing equation (50).
Hence, Killing equation (50), cannot be tautology for the solutions belonging to these above three classes, for the Legendre-transformed potential $\Pi(x, r, t, z)$, satisfying (51), for suitable choice of the functions $q, c, e, \rho, \sigma, \psi$ and the constants a, k.

Thus, we have proved the following theorem:

Theorem 3 *The metric (37) with ϑ, being exact solution of (36), belonging to any class, defined by (100) (where g_i are the functions of (101)), and by the relations:*

1. *(103) - class I (the case of equal symmetries), here n in (100) needs to be finite,*
2. *(104) - subclass I (the case of higher symmetry), here n can be unbounded, (100) is convergent series and some other corresponding series are properly convergent (but not absolutely summable), such that the system (114) is satisfied,*
3. *(105) - subclass II (the case of higher symmetry), here n can be unbounded, (100) is convergent series and some other corresponding series are properly convergent (but not absolutely summable), such that the system (115) is satisfied,*

where the functions $g_j, (j = 1, ..., n)$ are fixed, does not possess Killing vector, when $n \geq 4$ and the conditions: (96), $\zeta_1\zeta_2\zeta_3\zeta_4 \neq 0$, (116), n is finite - for the class I (the case of equal symmetries), (97), $\alpha_1\gamma_1\alpha_2\gamma_2\alpha_3\gamma_3\alpha_4\gamma_4 \neq 0$, (117) - for the subclass I (the case of higher symmetry) and (98), $\zeta_1\zeta_2\zeta_3\zeta_4 \neq 0$, (118) - for the subclass II (the case of higher symmetry), after taking into consideration the relations (102), are satisfied.

In all these above cases, the conditions: $g_j'' \neq 0$ need to be satisfied. For finite n the requirements of properly convergence of the corresponding series are not necessary.

4.4 Classes of exact solutions of mixed heavenly equation

In order to find more general solutions of mixed heavenly equation (55), instead of solving equation (57), obtained from (55) by Legendre transformation (56) , we apply decomposition method to the system (59)-(61).

Similarly to the case of second heavenly equation, we look for the class of solutions, given by the ansatz (7), but here: $u \equiv w$, $x^1 = \eta, x^2 = \xi, x^3 = q, x^4 = y$, and g_j $(j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$, are *arbitrary* functions of their arguments. The ansatz (7) presents a class of solutions of the system (59)-(61), when the following relations are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 &= \frac{a_1^2 + a_2^2}{a_2}, \quad a_4 = -\frac{a_1^2 a_2 + a_2^3 - a_1^3 - a_1 a_2^2}{a_2^2}, \\ b_3 &= \frac{b_1^2 + b_2^2}{b_2}, \quad b_4 = -\frac{b_1^2 b_2 + b_2^3 - b_1^3 - b_1 b_2^2}{b_2^2}, \\ c_3 &= \frac{c_1^2 + c_2^2}{c_2}, \quad c_4 = -\frac{c_1^2 c_2 + c_2^3 - c_1^3 - c_1 c_2^2}{c_2^2}, \\ d_3 &= \frac{d_1^2 + d_2^2}{d_2}, \quad d_4 = -\frac{d_1^2 d_2 + d_2^3 - d_1^3 - d_1 d_2^2}{d_2^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{119}$$

It turns out that the condition (58) is satisfied for the above class of solutions, when relation (137) holds (see Appendix B).
So, now we check, whether the class (7), is a class of non-invariant solutions or it depends on four independent combinations of the variables η, ξ, q, y, for these relations between the coefficients (119). Analogously, as in the case of second heavenly euqation, we write down the Jacobian matrix:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 g_1' & a_2 g_1' & \frac{a_1^2+a_2^2}{a_2} g_1' & -\frac{a_1^2 a_2 + a_2^3 - a_1^3 - a_1 a_2^2}{a_2^2} g_1' \\ b_1 g_2' & b_2 g_2' & \frac{b_1^2+b_2^2}{b_2} g_2' & -\frac{b_1^2 b_2 + b_2^3 - b_1^3 - b_1 b_2^2}{b_2^2} g_2' \\ c_1 g_3' & c_2 g_3' & \frac{c_1^2+c_2^2}{c_2} g_3' & -\frac{c_1^2 c_2 + c_2^3 - c_1^3 - c_1 c_2^2}{c_2^2} g_3' \\ d_1 g_4' & d_2 g_4' & \frac{d_1^2+d_2^2}{d_2} g_4' & -\frac{d_1^2 d_2 + d_2^3 - d_1^3 - d_1 d_2^2}{d_2^2} g_4' \end{pmatrix}. \tag{120}$$

We compute its determinant and we require non-vanishing of it:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\det M = -\frac{g_1' g_2' g_3' g_4'}{a_2^2 b_2^2 c_2^2 d_2^2} \Bigg(- a_1 a_2^2 b_2^3 c_2 c_1^2 d_1^3 + a_1 a_2^2 b_2^3 d_2 c_1^3 d_1^2 \\
-a_1 a_2^2 c_2^3 d_2 d_1^2 b_1^3 + a_1 a_2^2 c_2^3 b_2 b_1^2 d_1^3 - a_1 a_2^2 d_2^3 b_2 b_1^2 c_1^3 + \\
a_1 a_2^2 d_2^3 c_2 c_1^2 b_1^3 + b_1 b_2^2 a_2^3 c_2 c_1^2 d_1^3 - b_1 b_2^2 a_2^3 d_2 c_1^3 d_1^2 \\
+b_1 b_2^2 c_2^3 d_2 d_1^2 a_1^3 - b_1 b_2^2 c_2^3 a_2 a_1^2 d_1^3 + b_1 b_2^2 d_2^3 a_2 a_1^2 c_1^3 - \\
b_1 b_2^2 d_2^3 c_2 c_1^2 a_1^3 + c_1 c_2^2 a_2^3 d_2 d_1^2 b_1^3 - c_1 c_2^2 a_2^3 b_2 b_1^2 d_1^3 \\
-c_1 c_2^2 b_2^3 d_2 d_1^2 a_1^3 + c_1 c_2^2 b_2^3 a_2 a_1^2 d_1^3 - c_1 c_2^2 d_2^3 a_2 a_1^2 b_1^3 + \\
c_1 c_2^2 d_2^3 b_2 b_1^2 a_1^3 + d_1 d_2^2 a_2^3 b_2 b_1^2 c_1^3 - d_1 d_2^2 a_2^3 c_2 c_1^2 b_1^3 \\
-d_1 d_2^2 b_2^3 a_2 a_1^2 c_1^3 + d_1 d_2^2 b_2^3 c_2 c_1^2 a_1^3 + d_1 d_2^2 c_2^3 a_2 a_1^2 b_1^3 - \\
d_1 d_2^2 c_2^3 b_2 b_1^2 a_1^3 \Bigg) \neq 0.
\end{gathered} \tag{121}
$$

As we see, it is nonzero, if $g_1' g_2' g_3' g_4' \neq 0$ and the polynomial present in (121) does not possess zeroes. So, if additionally: $a_2 b_2 c_2 d_2 \neq 0$, the ansatz (7) and the relations between the coefficients (119), give some class of non-invariant solutions of mixed heavenly equation.

Analogically to the case of second heavenly equation, we extend the ansatz (7):

$$
w(\eta, \xi, q, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} g_j(\Sigma_j), \tag{122}
$$

where g_j are *arbitrary* functions of their arguments and:

$$
\Sigma_j = \alpha_j \eta + \gamma_j \xi + \zeta_j q + \lambda_j y + \beta_j. \tag{123}
$$

Obviously, now the notation of the coefficients changes. We give here this change for $j = 1, ..., 4$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_1 &= \alpha_1, \quad a_2 = \gamma_1, \quad a_3 = \zeta_1, \quad a_4 = \lambda_1, \\
b_1 &= \alpha_2, \quad b_2 = \gamma_2, \quad b_3 = \zeta_2, \quad b_4 = \lambda_2, \\
c_1 &= \alpha_3, \quad c_2 = \gamma_3, \quad c_3 = \zeta_3, \quad c_4 = \lambda_3, \\
d_1 &= \alpha_4, \quad d_2 = \gamma_4, \quad d_3 = \zeta_4, \quad d_4 = \lambda_4.
\end{aligned} \tag{124}
$$

The ansatz (122) presents some class of solutions of the system (59)-(61) and consequently of (57), when the following relations between coefficients are satisfied:

$$\zeta_j = \frac{\alpha_j^2 + \gamma_j^2}{\gamma_j}, \quad \lambda_j = -\frac{\alpha_j^2\gamma_j + \gamma_j^3 - \alpha_j^3 - \alpha_j\gamma_j^2}{\gamma_j^2} \tag{125}$$

and $\eta = p + t, \xi = p - t$, ($\beta_j$ are arbitrary constants).

Analogically, as in the case of second heavenly equation, if n is unbounded, then the series (122) is infinite and so, we apply now some properties of functional series, [24]. Hence, (122) needs to be convergent. Also here, from the requirement of differentiability of (122), we see that the corresponding series:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} g_j \ , \ ..., \ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} g_j, \tag{126}$$

need to be uniformly convergent.

Further, from the requirement of differentiability of the series (126), the consecutive series, including the terms obtained by computing the derivatives in (126) (for the coefficients satisfying the relations (125)):

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}\left(g_j' \alpha_j\right) \ , \ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q} g_j' \alpha_j\right), \ \textit{etc. etc.}, \tag{127}$$

need also to be uniformly convergent, such that the following system, obtained after inserting (122) into (59)-(61), (when the relations (125) hold):

$$\begin{gathered}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_j''\alpha_j^2+\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_j''\gamma_j^2-\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_j''(\alpha_j^2+\gamma_j^2)=0,\\
\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_j''(\alpha_j^2+\gamma_j^2)-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{g_j''(\alpha_j^2+\gamma_j^2)\alpha_j}{\gamma_j}+\\
\sum_{j=1}^{n}[-g_j''\frac{(\alpha_j^2\gamma_j+\gamma_j^3-\alpha_j^3-\alpha_j\gamma_j^2)}{\gamma_j}]=0,\\
\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_j''(\alpha_j^2+\gamma_j^2)+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{g_j''(\alpha_j^2+\gamma_j^2)\alpha_j}{\gamma_j}-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{g_j''(\alpha_j^2+\gamma_j^2)^2}{\gamma_j^2}+\\
\sum_{j=1}^{n}[-g_j''\frac{(\alpha_j^2\gamma_j+\gamma_j^3-\alpha_j^3-\alpha_j\gamma_j^2)\alpha_j}{\gamma_j^2}]=0,
\end{gathered}\tag{128}$$

will be satisfied.

Similarly to the case of second heavenly equation, we check satisfying the mixed-heavenly equation (57) (which is nonlinear PDE), by (122), for the relations (125). After inserting (122) into (57), if the coefficients satisfy the relations (125), we obtain:

$$\begin{gathered}
\Big[\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_j''\frac{(\alpha_j-\gamma_j)(\alpha_j^2+\gamma_j^2)}{\gamma_j}\Big]\Big[\sum_{j=1}^{n}\Big(-g_j''\frac{(\alpha_j^2\gamma_j+\gamma_j^3-\alpha_j^3-\alpha_j\gamma_j^2)(\alpha_j+\gamma_j)}{\gamma_j^2}\Big)\Big]-\\
\Big[\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_j''\frac{(\alpha_j^2+\gamma_j^2)(\alpha_j+\gamma_j)}{\gamma_j}\Big]\Big[\sum_{j=1}^{n}\Big(-g_j''\frac{(\alpha_j^2\gamma_j+\gamma_j^3-\alpha_j^3-\alpha_j\gamma_j^2)(\alpha_j-\gamma_j)}{\gamma_j^2}\Big)\Big]+\\
\Big[\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_j''(\alpha_j-\gamma_j)^2\Big]\Big[\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{g_j''(\alpha_j^2+\gamma_j^2)^2}{\gamma_j^2}\Big]-\Big[\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_j''\frac{(\alpha_j-\gamma_j)(\alpha_j^2+\gamma_j^2)}{\gamma_j}\Big]^2+\\
\theta\Big\{\Big[\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_j''(\alpha_j+\gamma_j)^2\Big]\Big[\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{g_j''(\alpha_j^2+\gamma_j^2)^2}{\gamma_j^2}\Big]-\Big[\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_j''\frac{(\alpha_j^2+\gamma_j^2)(\alpha_j+\gamma_j)}{\gamma_j}\Big]^2\Big\}=0
\end{gathered}\tag{129}$$

However, from the other hand, it turns out that the condition (58) is satisfied for the class of exact solutions given by (122) and (125), when the following relations hold:

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_j''(\alpha_j+\gamma_j)^2\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{g_j''(\alpha_j^2+\gamma_j^2)^2}{\gamma_j^2}\right)-\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{g_j''(\alpha_j^2+\gamma_j^2)(\alpha_j+\gamma_j)}{\gamma_j}\right)^2 \neq 0. \tag{130}$$

As we see, in order to satisfying (129), the series:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_j''(\alpha_j+\gamma_j)^2,\ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{g_j''(\alpha_j^2+\gamma_j^2)^2}{\gamma_j^2},\ \sum_{j=1}^{n} g_j''\frac{(\alpha_j^2+\gamma_j^2)(\alpha_j+\gamma_j)}{\gamma_j} \tag{131}$$

need to be absolutely summable. But in such case, the condition (130) is not satisfied. Hence, n must be finite and (122) must be finite sum of the functions g_j. Thus, in the case of the relations (125), the requirements of convergence of (122) and the series occuring in (129), is not necessary. Of course, the second derivatives of all functions g_j need to be non-zero: $g_j'' \neq 0$.

The condition (121) holds also for (122) - (123) and (125), but of course, the notation for the coefficients $a_j, b_j, c_j, d_j, (j = 1, ..., 4)$, changes according to (124).

Hence, the ansatz (122), when n is finite, with (123) and (125), gives the class of the exact solutions of (59)-(61) and consequently of (57) and these solutions depend on four variables, if $n \geq 4$, and the conditions: (121) (after taking into consideration the relations (124)), $\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3\gamma_4 \neq 0$ and (130), are satisfied. Thus, these solutions are non-invariant.

4.5 Classes of exact solutions of asymmetric heavenly equation and evolution form of second heavenly equation

Now we will solve the asymmetric heavenly equation (63), by applying decomposition method.

We insert also the ansatz (7), but now: $x^1 = x, x^2 = y, x^3 = z, x^4 = t$, and $g_j \in \mathcal{C}^2$ $(j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$, are *arbitrary* functions of their arguments. This ansatz gives the class of non-invariant solutions of (63), when:

$$C = -\frac{c_3(Bc_1 + Ac_4)}{c_1^2}, a_2 = \frac{a_1c_2(-Ba_3c_1^2 + a_1c_1c_3B + a_1c_3c_4A)}{Aa_3c_1^2c_4},$$
$$a_4 = \frac{a_1(-Ba_3c_1^2 + a_1c_1c_3B + a_1c_3c_4A)}{Aa_3c_1^2}, b_1 = 0, b_4 = 0, \tag{132}$$
$$d_1 = 0, d_4 = 0,$$

and $\beta_k, (k = 1, ..., 5)$ are arbitrary constants.
The Jacobian matrix has the form:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a_1g_1' & N_1g_1' & a_3g_1' & N_2g_1' \\ 0 & b_2g_2' & b_3g_2' & 0 \\ c_1g_3' & c_2g_3' & c_3g_3' & c_4g_3' \\ 0 & d_2g_4' & d_3g_4' & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{133}$$

where: $N_1 = \frac{a_1c_2}{Aa_3c_1^2c_4}(-Ba_3c_1^2 + a_1c_1c_3B + Aa_1c_3c_4), N_2 = \frac{a_1}{Aa_3c_1^2}(-Ba_3c_1^2 + a_1c_1c_3B + Aa_1c_3c_4)$.

We require non-vanishing of determinant of this above Jacobian matrix:

$$\det M = \frac{g_1'g_2'g_3'g_4'}{c_1Aa_3}\Bigg(a_1(b_2d_3 - b_3d_2)(-c_4Aa_3c_1 - Ba_3c_1^2 + a_1c_1c_3B + a_1c_3c_4A)\Bigg) \neq 0. \tag{134}$$

This above determinant is non-zero, when $g_1'g_2'g_3'g_4' \neq 0$ and the polynomial, present in (134), does not possess zeroes.
In the case $B = 0$ we obtain the class of solutions of the evolution form of second heavenly equation. Let us notice that also in this case: $B = 0$, the ansatz (7) with the set of modified relations (132) (after putting $B = 0$), remains the class of non-invariant solutions.
Hence, the ansatz (7) and the relations (132), give the class of exact solutions of assymetric heavenly equation (if $B \neq 0$), and of the evolution form of the second heavenly equation (if $B = 0$) and these solutions depend on four variables (when (134) and $Ac_1a_3c_4 \neq 0$ hold). Hence, these solutions are non-invariant.

4.6 The criterion for non-invariance of found solutions

From the results obtained in previous sections of this paper, especially from the forms of the Jacobians for the found classes of exact solutions, the criterion for non-invariance of the solutions, belonging to the above mentioned classes, follows immediately:

Corollary 1 *Let the ansatz:*

$$u(x^k) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} g_j(\Sigma_j), \quad k = 1, 2, 3, 4, \tag{135}$$

where: $\Sigma_j = \alpha_j x^1 + \gamma_j x^2 + \zeta_j x^3 + \lambda_j x^4 + \beta_j$, the parameters $\alpha_j, \gamma_j, \zeta_j, \lambda_j, \beta_j$ satisfy some relations, and $n = 4$ (for the equations: elliptic and hyperbolic complex Monge-Ampère, asymmetric heavenly and evolution form of heavenly equation) or $n \geq 4$ (for the equations: second heavenly and mixed heavenly - in both these cases n need to be bounded, except the case of higher symmetry for the second heavenly equation), $g_j \in \mathcal{C}^2$ are arbitrary *functions (however: in the case of elliptic complex Monge-Ampère equation, g_1, g_2 are the square functions of their arguments and in the case of higher symmetry for the second heavenly equation, the ansatz (135) needs to be convergent series, some series including terms obtained after computing derivatives of (135), need to be uniformly convergent, moreover, in the case of elliptic and hyperbolic complex Monge-Ampère equation, the solutions, belonging to the corresponding classes, given by (135), need to be real), give the class of exact solutions of the equations: elliptic and hyperbolic complex Monge-Ampère one, second heavenly, mixed heavenly, asymmetric heavenly and evolution form of second heavenly equation, when corresponding conditions of existence of Legendre transformation are satisfied (in the case of the equations: hyperbolic complex Monge-Ampère, second heavenly and mixed heavenly).*
These solutions are non-invariant, if first derivatives of the all functions g_j, ($j = 1, ..., 4$ - for all of above mentioned equations, except the case of higher symmetry for the second heavenly equation, when $j \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$), are non-zero, the polynomials included in Jacobians, corresponding to each of these above mentioned classes of solutions, do not possess zeroes and the coefficients $\alpha_j, \gamma_j, \zeta_j, \lambda_j$ satisfy some inequalities. In the case of elliptic complex Monge-Ampère equation, the solutions are non-invariant in the regions $z^k, \bar{z}^k, (k = 1, 2)$, where the conditions: $\bar{a}_2 z^1 + a_2 \bar{z}^1 + \beta_2 \neq 0$, $2z^1 \bar{a}_2 a_2 +$

$2\bar{z}^1\bar{a}_2a_2 + z^2a_2 + \bar{z}^2\bar{a}_2 + 2\beta_3\bar{a}_2a_2 \neq 0, a_2 \neq 0$ *and* $g_3', \bar{g}_3' \neq 0$*, are satisfied simultaneously.*

Hence, this above criterion determines also, when the classes of metrics, generated by the classes of solutions, found by us in this paper, do not possess Killing vector.

5 Conclusions

We applied decomposition method for finding of classes of exact solutions (functionally invariant solutions) of heavenly equations: elliptic and hyperbolic complex Monge-Ampère one, second heavenly, mixed heavenly, asymmetric heavenly and evolution form of second heavenly equations. For each of these equations, we have obtained the algebraic determining system, following from inserting the ansatz into investigated equation. Apart from satisfying of such algebraic determining system and the condition of non-invariance of wanted solutions, belonging to our classes (which implicated non-vanishing of the Jacobians), in the cases of the equations: Monge-Ampère, second heavenly and mixed heavenly, some additional conditions must be satisfied by the functions included in ansatz and by the coefficients. It depends on the investigated equation:

1. elliptic complex Monge-Ampère equation - the condition of reality of the solutions,
2. hyperbolic complex Monge-Ampère equation - the condition of existence of Legendre transformation and the condition of reality of the solutions,
3. second heavenly equation - the condition of existence of Legendre transformation; in the case of higher symmetry, the classes of exact solutions can be infinite functional series, but here these classes must be convergent series, some series obtained by twice differentiating of these classes, need to be uniformly convergent, but they cannot be absolutely summable,
4. mixed-heavenly equation - the condition of existence of Legendre transformation, it has turned out that the condition of the convergence of

the series, being the class of the solutions, is not necessary, because the class is given by finite sum of functions g_j.

We tried to keep the generality of the functions including in the ansatz, as it was possible, too.
To sum up, we have found some new classes of exact, non-invariant solutions of each of all above mentioned equations and we have established also the criterion for the non-invariance of the solutions, belonging to these classes. Thus, this criterion determines also, when the classes of metrics, generated by the classes of solutions, found by us in this paper, do not possess Killing vector. Hence, if this criterion is satisfied, then, these classes of solutions determine the new classes of heavenly metrics without Killing vectors. Moreover, it can be said that the decomposition method, applied in this paper, although it is not general method, it can offer sometimes more easy way of finding of exact solutions of some nonlinear partial differential equations, like heavenly equations, in comparison with other exact methods.
In some cases, this method can give a possibility of finding classes of exact solutions of given nonlinear PDE, by applying this method directly to the equation, without the necessity of linearization of this PDE. A good example can be here the hyperbolic complex Monge-Ampère equation. In contrast with the procedure used in [4], we have found the classes of exact, non-invariant solutions of this mentioned equation, by applying decomposition method, without linearization of this equation. Of course, to reach this aim, solving of the system of nonlinear algebraic equations was necessary. The decomposition method can be applied also to the non-homogeneous nonlinear partial differential equations, in which the non-homogenity is caused by the presence of some free constant term. In this paper, one such equations has been solved by this method: elliptic complex Monge-Ampère equation.
Of course, the solutions (mentioned above) of the equations investigated in this paper, are not first found functionally invariant solutions of these equations. Actually, some functionally invariant solution was found to the equations: elliptic complex Monge-Ampère, in [26] (the form of this solution is given by (19)), second heavenly and hyperbolic complex Monge-Ampère equation, in [27] and [28], correspondingly and hyperbolic complex Monge-Ampère equation, in [4] (for the last case, the form of these solutions is given by (31)). However, they possess different forms, than the solutions of the corresponding equations, presented in the section 4 of this current paper.

A Condition (23) for the class III of solutions of hyperbolic complex Monge-Ampère equation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Bigg(-\frac{4B_4^2B_3^2A_2^2}{(B_3-B_4+\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2})^2}+\frac{2A_2^2B_4^3\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2}}{(B_3-B_4+\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2})^2}+ \\
\frac{2A_2^2B_3^3\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2}}{(B_3-B_4+\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2})^2}-\frac{2A_2^2B_4B_3^3}{(B_3-B_4+\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2})^2}- \\
\frac{2A_2^2B_4^3B_3}{(B_3-B_4+\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2})^2}+\frac{2B_4B_3^2A_2^2}{(B_3-B_4+\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2})}+ \\
\frac{2B_3B_4^2A_2^2}{(B_3-B_4+\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2})}-\frac{2A_2^2\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2}B_4^2}{B_3-B_4+\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2}}- \\
\frac{2\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2}B_3^2A_2^2}{B_3-B_4+\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2}}+\frac{2\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2}B_3^2B_4A_2^2}{(B_3-B_4+\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2})^2}+ \\
\frac{2B_4^2\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2}B_3A_2^2}{(B_3-B_4+\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2})^2}+\frac{2A_2^2B_4^3}{B_3-B_4+\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2}}- \\
\frac{2A_2^2B_4^4}{(B_3-B_4+\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2})^2}-\frac{2A_2^2B_3^4}{(B_3-B_4+\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2})^2}+ \\
\frac{2A_2^2B_3^3}{B_3-B_4+\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2}}-B_4^2A_2^2-B_3^2A_2^2\Bigg)g_1''g_2''+ \\
\Bigg(\frac{2H_2^2B_4^3\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2}}{(B_3-B_4+\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2})^2}+\frac{2H_2^2B_3^3\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2}}{(B_3-B_4+\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2})^2}- \\
\frac{4B_4^2B_3^2H_2^2}{(B_3-B_4+\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2})^2}-\frac{2H_2^2B_4^4}{(B_3-B_4+\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2})^2}- \\
\frac{2H_2^2B_3^4}{(B_3-B_4+\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2})^2}-\frac{2B_4B_3^2H_2^2}{B_3-B_4+\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2}}- \\
\frac{2B_3B_4^2H_2^2}{B_3-B_4+\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2}}-H_2^2B_3^2-H_2^2B_4^2+ \\
\frac{2H_2^2\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2}B_4^2}{B_3-B_4+\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2}}-\frac{2H_2^2B_4B_3^3}{(B_3-B_4+\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2})^2}- \\
\frac{2H_2^2B_4^3B_3}{(B_3-B_4+\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2})^2}+\frac{2\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2}B_3^2H_2^2}{B_3-B_4+\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2}}+ \\
\frac{2H_2^2\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2}B_4^2B_3}{(B_3-B_4+\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2})^2}-\frac{2H_2^2B_3^3}{B_3-B_4+\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2}}- \\
\frac{2H_2^2B_4^3}{B_3-B_4+\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2}}+\frac{2\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2}B_3^2H_2^2B_4}{(B_3-B_4+\sqrt{B_3^2+B_4^2})^2}\Bigg)g_2''g_4''- \\
4g_1''C_2^2g_3''A_2^2+(-B_3^2C_2^2-B_4^2C_2^2)g_2''g_3''-16g_1''H_2^2g_4''A_2^2-4g_3''C_2^2g_4''H_2^2\neq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

B Condition (58) for the class of solutions, given by (7) and (119), of mixed heavenly equation

$$\frac{1}{a_2^2b_2^2c_2^2d_2^2}(N_1g_1''g_2''+N_2g_1''g_3''+N_3g_1''g_4''+N_4g_2''g_3''+N_5g_2''g_4''+N_6g_3''g_4'')\neq 0, \tag{137}$$

where $N_1 = b_2^4c_2^2d_2^2a_1^4 + a_2^4c_2^2d_2^2b_1^4 + a_1^2a_2^2c_2^2d_2^2b_1^4 + 2\,a_1a_2^3c_2^2d_2^2b_1^4 + a_2^4c_2^2d_2^2b_1^2b_2^2 + a_1^2a_2^2c_2^2d_2^2b_2^4 - 2b_2c_2^2d_2^2a_1a_2^3b_1^3 - 2b_2^3c_2^2d_2^2a_1a_2^3b_1 + 2b_1b_2^3c_2^2d_2^2a_1^2a_2^2 - 2b_2c_2^2d_2^2a_1^3a_2b_1^3 - 2b_2^2c_2^2d_2^2a_1^3a_2b_1^2 - 2b_2^3c_2^2d_2^2a_1^3a_2b_1 + 2a_1^2a_2^2c_2^2d_2^2b_1^2b_2^2 + 2a_1a_2^3c_2^2d_2^2b_1^2b_2^2 + b_1^2b_2^2c_2^2d_2^2a_1^4 + 2b_1b_2^3c_2^2d_2^2a_1^4 - 2b_2^4c_2^2d_2^2a_1^3a_2 - 2b_2c_2^2d_2^2a_2^4b_1^3 - 2b_2c_2^2d_2^2a_1^2a_2^2b_1^3,$
$N_2 = a_1^2a_2^2b_2^2d_2^2c_2^4+2a_1a_2^3b_2^2d_2^2c_1^4+a_2^4b_2^2d_2^2c_1^4+c_2^4b_2^2d_2^2a_1^4+2c_1c_2^3b_2^2d_2^2a_1^4-2b_2^2c_2^4d_2^2a_1^3a_2+a_2^4b_2^2d_2^2c_1^2c_2^2+c_1^2b_2^2c_2^2d_2^2a_1^4+a_1^2a_2^2b_2^2d_2^2c_1^4-2b_2^2c_2d_2^2a_1^2a_2^2c_1^3-2b_2^2c_2d_2^2a_2^4c_1^3+2a_1a_2^3b_2^2d_2^2c_1^2c_2^2+2c_1c_2^3b_2^2d_2^2a_1^2a_2^2-2b_2^2c_2d_2^2a_1^3a_2c_1^3-2b_2^2c_2d_2^2a_1a_2^3c_1^3-2b_2^2c_2^3d_2^2a_1a_2^3c_1+2a_1^2a_2^2b_2^2d_2^2c_1^2c_2^2-2b_2^2c_2^2d_2^2a_1^3a_2c_1^2 - 2b_2^2c_2^3d_2^2a_1^3a_2c_1,$
$N_3 = d_1^2b_2^2c_2^2d_2^2a_1^4 + 2d_1d_2^3b_2^2c_2^2a_1^4 + 2a_1a_2^3b_2^2c_2^2d_1^2d_2^2 + d_2^4b_2^2c_2^2a_1^4 + a_1^2a_2^2b_2^2c_2^2d_2^4 + 2d_1d_2^3b_2^2c_2^2a_1^2a_2^2-2b_2^2c_2^2d_2^4a_1^3a_2-2b_2^2c_2^2d_2a_2^4d_1^3+a_2^4b_2^2c_2^2d_1^4+a_2^4b_2^2c_2^2d_1^2d_2^2+2a_1^2a_2^2b_2^2c_2^2d_1^2d_2^2-2b_2^2c_2^2d_2^3a_1^3a_2d_1-2b_2^2c_2^2d_2a_1^2a_2^2d_1^3-2b_2^2c_2^2d_2a_1a_2^3d_1^3-2b_2^2c_2^2d_2^2a_1^3a_2d_1^2-2b_2^2c_2^2d_2a_1^3a_2d_1^3+a_1^2a_2^2b_2^2c_2^2d_1^4 - 2b_2^2c_2^2d_2^3a_1a_2^3d_1 + 2a_1a_2^3b_2^2c_2^2d_1^4,$
$N_4 = 2b_1b_2^3a_2^2d_2^2c_1^4 + b_1^2a_2^2b_2^2d_2^2c_2^4 + b_1^2a_2^2b_2^2d_2^2c_1^4 - 2a_2^2c_2^3d_2^2b_1^3b_2c_1 - 2a_2^2c_2d_2^2b_1^2b_2^2c_1^3 - 2a_2^2c_2d_2^2b_2^4c_1^3 - 2a_2^2c_2^4d_2^2b_1^3b_2 - 2a_2^2c_2d_2^2b_1^3b_2c_1^3 - 2a_2^2c_2^2d_2^2b_1^3b_2c_1^2 + 2c_1c_2^3a_2^2d_2^2b_1^2b_2^2 + b_2^4a_2^2d_2^2c_1^2c_2^2+b_2^4a_2^2d_2^2c_1^4+c_2^4a_2^2d_2^2b_1^4+2c_1c_2^3a_2^2d_2^2b_1^4+2b_1b_2^3a_2^2d_2^2c_1^2c_2^2+2b_1^2a_2^2b_2^2d_2^2c_1^2c_2^2+c_1^2a_2^2c_2^2d_2^2b_1^4 - 2a_2^2c_2d_2^2b_1b_2^3c_1^3 - 2a_2^2c_2^3d_2^2b_1b_2^3c_1,$
$N_5 = b_1^2a_2^2b_2^2c_2^2d_2^4 - 2a_2^2c_2^2d_2b_2^4d_1^3 + 2b_1b_2^3a_2^2c_2^2d_1^2d_2^2 + b_1^2a_2^2b_2^2c_2^2d_1^4 - 2a_2^2c_2^2d_2^4b_1^3b_2 + b_2^4a_2^2c_2^2d_1^2d_2^2+2d_1d_2^3a_2^2c_2^2b_1^2b_2^2+2d_1d_2^3a_2^2c_2^2b_1^4+2b_1b_2^3a_2^2c_2^2d_1^4+2b_1^2a_2^2b_2^2c_2^2d_1^2d_2^2+d_1^2a_2^2c_2^2d_2^2b_1^4+d_2^4a_2^2c_2^2b_1^4-2a_2^2c_2^2d_2^3b_1b_2^3d_1-2a_2^2c_2^2d_2b_1^3b_2d_1^3+b_2^4a_2^2c_2^2d_1^4-2a_2^2c_2^2d_2^3b_1^3b_2d_1-2a_2^2c_2^2d_2b_1^2b_2^2d_1^3-2a_2^2c_2^2d_2b_1b_2^3d_1^3 - 2a_2^2c_2^2d_2^2b_1^3b_2d_1^2,$
$N_6 = 2c_1c_2^3a_2^2b_2^2d_1^4+c_2^4a_2^2b_2^2d_1^2d_2^2+2d_1d_2^3a_2^2b_2^2c_1^4-2a_2^2b_2^2d_2^3c_1^3c_2d_1-2a_2^2b_2^2d_2c_1^2c_2^2d_1^3+c_1^2a_2^2b_2^2c_2^2d_2^4+d_1^2a_2^2b_2^2d_2^2c_1^4-2a_2^2b_2^2d_2^2c_1^3c_2d_1^2-2a_2^2b_2^2d_2c_2^4d_1^3+c_1^2a_2^2b_2^2c_2^2d_1^4-2a_2^2b_2^2d_2c_1^3c_2d_1^3-2a_2^2b_2^2d_2^4c_1^3c_2-2a_2^2b_2^2d_2c_1c_2^3d_1^3-2a_2^2b_2^2d_2^3c_1c_2^3d_1+c_2^4a_2^2b_2^2d_1^4+d_2^4a_2^2b_2^2c_1^4+2d_1d_2^3a_2^2b_2^2c_1^2c_2^2+2c_1^2a_2^2b_2^2c_2^2d_1^2d_2^2 + 2c_1c_2^3a_2^2b_2^2d_1^2d_2^2.$

C Acknowledgements

The author thanks to Prof. M. Sheftel for very interesting discussion. The author adresses special thanks to the Referees for their valuable remarks, which helped to improve the quality of this paper.

D Computational resources

The computations were carried out, by using Waterloo MAPLE 12 Software on the computers: ”mars” and ”saturn” (No. of grants: MNiI/IBM BC HS21/AP/057/2008 and MNiI/Sun6800/WSP/008/2005, correspondingly) and Waterloo MAPLE 14 Software on the computer ”mars” (No. of grant: MNiI/IBM BC HS21/AP/057/2008) in ACK-Cyfronet AGH in Kraków (Poland). This research was supported also by PL-Grid Infrastructure. The computations were carried out also in Interdisciplinary Centre for Mathematical and Computer Modelling (ICM), within the grant No. G31-6.

References

[1] Plebański J F 1975 *J. Math. Phys.* **16** 2395.

[2] Boyer C P and Winternitz P 1989 *J. Math. Phys.* **30** 1081.

[3] Abraham-Shrauner B 2007 *Phys. Lett.* A **369** 299.

[4] Malykh A A, Nutku Y and Sheftel M B 2004 *J. Phys. A* **37** 7527.

[5] Malykh A A, Nutku Y and Sheftel M B 2003 *J. Phys. A* **36** 10023.

[6] Atiyah M F, Hitchin N J and Singer I M 1978 *Proc. R. Soc* A **362** 452.

[7] Sheftel M B and Malykh A A 2009 *J. Phys. A* **42** 395202.

[8] Jakimowicz M and Tafel J 2006 *Class. Quant. Grav.* **23** 4907.

[9] Husain V 1994 *Class. Quant. Grav.* **11** 927.

[10] Finley III J D, Plebański J F, Przanowski M and García-Compeán H 1993 *Phys. Lett.* A **181** 435.

[11] Plebański J F and Przanowski M 1996, *Phys. Lett.* **A 212** 22-28.

[12] Boyer C P and Plebański J 1977 *J. Math. Phys.* **18** 1022.

[13] Plebański J F, Przanowski M, Rajca B and Tosiek J 1995 *Acta Phys. Pol.* B **26** 889

[14] Stępień Ł T 2010 *J. Comp. Appl. Math.* **233** 1607 (an extended version of the talk delivered at the Conference *Interdisciplinary conference "SPECIAL FUNCTIONS, INFORMATION THEORY AND MATHEMATICAL PHYSICS", in honor of Prof. Jesus S. Dehesa's 60th birthday, Granada, Spain*, September 17 - 19, 2007, Granada (Spain))

[15] Sokalski K 1984 *Acta Phys. Pol.* A **65** 457.

[16] Sokalski K, Stępień Ł and Sokalska D 2002, *J. Phys. A* **35** 6157.

[17] Sobolev S L 1934 *Travaux Inst. Physico-Math. Stekloff, Acad. Sci. USSR* 259 ; *Trudy Fiz.-Mat. Inst. Steklova* **5** 259.

[18] Erouguine N 1944 *C. R. (Doklady) Acad. Sci. URSS (N.S.)* **42** 371 (in French) ; Erugin N P 1944 *Doklady Akad. Nauk USSR* **42** 371.

[19] Erugin N P and Smirnov M M 1981 *Diff. Uravn.* **17** No. 5 853.

[20] Meleshko S V 2005 "Methods for Constructing Exact Solutions of Partial Differential Equations", Springer 2005.

[21] Men'shikh O F 1972 *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat.* No. 4 84.

[22] Men'shikh O F 1975 *Diff. Uravn.* **11** No. 3 533.

[23] Stępień Ł T 2009 "On some classes of exact solutions of eikonal equation", in *Trends in Differential Geometry, Complex Analysis and Mathematical Physics. Proceedings of the 9th International Workshop on Complex Structures, Integrability and Vector Fields, (Sofia, Bulgaria, 25-29 August 2008).* Editors: Kouei Sekigawa, Vladimir S. Gerdjikov and Stancho Dimiev, World Scientific 2009.

[24] Korn G A and Korn T M, "Mathematical Handbook for scientists and engineers" (in Polish), vol. 1, PWN, Warszawa 1983.

[25] Fichtenholz G M, "A course of differential and integral calculus", (in Polish), vol. II, PWN, Warszawa 1985.

[26] Malykh A and Sheftel M B 2011 *SIGMA* **7** 043.

[27] Dunajski M and Mason L J 2003 *J. Math. Phys.* **44** 3430.

[28] Malykh A A, Nutku Y and Sheftel M B 2007 *J. Phys. A* **40** 9371.